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Research Questions

• Is there evidence from our case study that a regional and associative form of governance is emerging in the Trois-Rivières City-Region (Mauricie) ?

• How the specific form of governance in the region influence his economic development ?
  – Is the governance in Mauricie favorable to its regional development ?
  – How do public and private entities collaborate (or not) to support regional development in Mauricie?
  – What are the collaboration patterns between entities?
Framework of analysis

• … regional policies focus on various forms of collaboration […]. Common to these new concepts is that they are positively charged, **but less is known about what happens when they are put into practice** (Engstrand & Åhlander, 2008).

• With a departure in the embeddedness idea it seems reasonable to suggest that **regions are social constructs** (Lorentzen, 2008)
  – the regional level may be significant in some places but insignificant in others
  – the local level may be significant in some places but insignificant in others

• Today we see **increased competition for resources between various local levels**, something that the government indirectly supports. […] There is risk that **resources are devoted more to various application processes and to creating a long series or partnerships** rather than being allocated to development initiatives (Engstrand & Åhlander, 2008)

• Patterns of interaction and propensity to collaborate are contingent on and evolve as industries and the supporting institutions of the RSI evolve (Andersen & Drejer, 2008)
Methodology

- Case study – Mauricie region (Trois-Rivières City-Region)
- 23 interviews - from October 2008 to April 2009
- Meetings with regional and local entities representative of the public and private organizations devoted to support regional development
  - Entities who support local development (local level)
  - Entities who support regional development (regional level)
  - Entities with a provincial and national mission and mandate (extra regional level)
- Interviews performed with ISRN structured interview guide
  - ISRN City Region Study: Interview Guide Theme 3 – Governance, inclusion and participation
Methodology

- Giving less attention to the presence/absence of “structures” or “discourses” dedicated to the coordination/collaboration
- Looking at what is “really” happening on the field
  - **Financial resources** available for regional development programs/projects/activities
    - $$, $, \emptyset
  - **Mandate territory** : which territory is the entity responsible for
  - **Social network territory** : who is the entity speaking to, who is the entity working with
  - **Intervention territory** : what is the territory in which the entity intervene
  - **Overall embeddedness** : a qualitative synthesis of the 4 elements
    - Local (L), Regional (R), Extra-Regional (ER)
Local level
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Financial Ressources</th>
<th>Mandate Territory</th>
<th>Social Network Territory</th>
<th>Intervention Territory</th>
<th>Overall Embeddedness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDÉ Trois-Rivières</td>
<td>$$</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLD Shawinigan</td>
<td>$$</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SADC Centre de la Mauricie</td>
<td>$$</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLD Maskinongé</td>
<td>$$</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SADC Maskinongé</td>
<td>$$</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLE</td>
<td>$$</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Characteristics of the local level

- Entities mandates’ are limited to a municipality or a MRC territory
- Entities have important resources to invest in local social and economic development activities
- Their social networks are essentially local
- Their fields of intervention is local
- Overall they are locally embedded
Regional level
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Financial Ressources</th>
<th>Mandate Territory</th>
<th>Social Network Territory</th>
<th>Intervention Territory</th>
<th>Overall Embeddedness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRÉ</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comité ACCORD</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCORD Meuble</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARI CNRC</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technopole</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIFM</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>ER</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ</td>
<td>$$</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQi</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>ER</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Characteristics of the regional level

• Entities have regional missions and mandates to cover the Mauricie territory
• They often have a sectorial mandate
• Most entities count on very few resources
• Their social networks are regional
• Their fields of intervention is regional
• Entities are “younger” than local authorities
• They are numerous
• They talk, meet and write a lot
Extra regional level
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Financial Ressources</th>
<th>Mandate Territory</th>
<th>Social Network Territory</th>
<th>Intervention Territory</th>
<th>Overall Embeddedness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACCORD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogène</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>ER</td>
<td>ER</td>
<td>→</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INRPME UQTR</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>ER</td>
<td>ER</td>
<td>ER</td>
<td>→</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVR UQTR</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>ER</td>
<td>ER</td>
<td>ER</td>
<td>→</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNETE</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>ER</td>
<td>ER</td>
<td>ER</td>
<td>→</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIPP</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>ER</td>
<td>ER</td>
<td>ER</td>
<td>→</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Characteristics of the extra-regional level

- Entities have a mandate that covers provincial, national and international territories
- They often have a sector-based vocation
- Their social networks are provincial, national and international
- Their fields of action have no frontiers
- They are without financial resources earmarked to regional development
- They are mobilized for regional development
  - They are not “mobilizers” for regional development
Collaboration and Mauricie’s governance for regional development
Collaboration and Mauricie’s governance for regional development I

• Local entities
  – have mandates and interests in their local territory
  – have important financial and social resources and they only use them at the local level
  – they collaborate between themselves but not with or at the regional or extra-regional levels
  – due to their age and past success organizations on their territory trust them and know how to work with them
Collaboration and Mauricie’s governance for regional development II

• Regional entities
  – have regional mandates and interests thus creating tensions with local entities
  – their financial resources are limited and their social networks/collaborations are fragile because they don’t have the “means of their ambitions”
  – they have no networks at the local level and a limited legitimacy
Collaboration and Mauricie’s governance for regional development III

- Research and R&D Transfer Centers
  - have provincial mandates and their interests are at national and often international level
  - they sometimes contribute to regional development even if they have few levers and few financial resources
  - they rarely contribute at local level
  - they are “too big” for the organizations of the local level
Collaboration and Mauricie’s governance for regional development IV

• Overall
  – As in many places in the industrialized world, collaboration and coordination at the regional level are key words for regional development
  – In Mauricie, collaboration and coordination has not been / is not completely obvious
  – We were searching for regional governance and found overlapping structures but no collaboration and coordination in action
    • we saw governances instead of a governance
  – In terms of economic development regional governance simply doesn’t exist
ACCORD “regional” strategy: A case of failure due to the absence of regional governance

- Earmarked at the regional level, the strategy addresses enterprises to develop partnerships for projects
- Regional and extra-regional entities
  - try to appropriate the strategy in order to gain resources and promote regional interests and projects
  - financial resources are limited and the social networks are fragile (why collaborate if the resources are too limited to do anything serious)
  
- Local entities
  - are excluded from planning and executive comities and from projects because their interests and fields of action are local
  - but they are solicited to invest their own financial resources in projects – they refuse!
  - want the « regional money » to finance their own local activities
- Collaboration is difficult/impossible and the strategy is not “lifting off”
Conclusions

• *Is there evidence from our case study that a regional and associative form of governance is emerging in the Trois-Rivières City-Region?*
  – NO!
  – The regional level is insignificant
  – Due to “administrative structure”, age and financial resources the governance and collaboration patterns are essentially local

• *How the specific form of governance in the region influence his economic development?*
  – Each social or economic actor for which the local playing field is too small is poorly supported under the actual forms of governances
    • High-tech SMEs
    • Big enterprises
    • Fast growing SMEs
    • “Big” cultural organizations