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Bringing Second-Tier Cities In?

Broaden the “innovation and creativity” discussion: Second-tier cities are unremarkable in their location and industry profiles, non-descript built and natural environments, limited urban amenities and cultural diversity, and with more ‘daily hum than nightly buzz’;

Address academic and policy gaps: Such places are typically beneath the academic radar screen (the creative metropolis is more interesting eg. Florida) and off the policy agenda (the less favoured regional cities in decline are more deserving eg. Savoie);

Distinctive policy challenges and environments: These “ordinary” cities confront their own set of restructuring challenges, and without the internal diversity of the big city or the external attention of the less favoured regions;

They outnumber the others: Their stories need to be told – both in relation to the big cities and the less favoured regions, and in relation to one another as there is likely to be notable variation within the second tier category.
Second-Tier Cities in Focus

The specificity of economic development in second tier cities?

- **Vulnerable to external shocks**: economic restructuring and inter-city competition;
- **Path dependent challenges**: industrial structure; place quality; institutional capabilities; urban brand/identity;
- **Localized assets**: university/college; regional hubs; intra-city mobility for F2F contact;
- **Upper Level Policy**: easily overlooked by upper level governments

**Key message**: local agency *matters in second tier cities for economic development*

**Big question**: “*will smaller urban regions with less distinctive characters be relegated to the status of also rans?”* (Wolfe 2009)
Analytical Framework: Urban Political Science meets Institutional Economic Geography for Canadian Analysis

How to analyse the second tier interplay of local agency and path dependency?

Urban Political Science

Power relations and social coalitions
“Urban Regime”
“Development Coalition”
(e.g. Stone, Keating...)

Institutional Economic Geography

Path dependence and spatial relations
“Associational Economy”
“Institutional Thickness”
(e.g. Cooke & Morgan, Amin & Thrift...)
Analytical Framework...

American narrative
Long-standing business-government stable alliances rooted in selective deals organizing economic development over decades

European narrative
Long-standing business-government-university-labour thick relations rooted in social capital enabling associational economic development

Canadian Context: Limited local autonomy and greater business fragmentation make regimes unlikely; limited social capital and institutional thinness make associational governance exceptional.

A more context-sensitive framework can be developed through two MCRI-related interdisciplinary collaborations
Analytical Framework

Gertler and Wolfe: economic development as socially organized learning processes

- **Organized social learning:** development through interactive and iterative action planning that (re)aligns local industry and institutions as the economy changes.

- Helpful because it emphasizes strategic choice and change process but makes no *a priori* claims about their character or quality: local context (actors, institutions, networks) will shape the interplay of legacies and agency.

Clarke and Gaile: organized social learning through context-structuring processes

- **Context-structuring processes:** each city spells out the players, procedures, and values to be included in economic development/governance.

- Helpful because it supplies middle range concepts to “pinpoint the mechanisms” and compare how these structured relations organize social learning processes.
Clarke and Gaile propose two context structuring concepts and we add a third from Gertler and Wolfe’s organized social learning:

1. **Institutional Logics:** of the learning process and development strategy making

2. **Framework Links:** among the players, policies, and processes

3. **Civic Entrepreneurship:** leaders to convene/orchestrate change

*These three concepts enable analysis of economic development through social learning in different cities, especially second tier cities where assumptions about urban regimes and associational governance often mislead*
Part 3: Whither the Second Tier City? Divergent Pathways in London and Waterloo

Last 30 years Waterloo and London two comparable second tier cities (similar baseline assets/deficits) respond to common rounds of external shocks; can they manage a development transformation from traditional industrial/finance profiles to knowledge-driven advanced manufacturing and technology niches?

If that’s the question circa 1980, then the answer 2010:

Waterloo: “One of the most dynamic sources of high-tech activity in Canada” (Wolfe, 2009) (leading clusters, strong brand, embedded knowledge, new economy anchor firms)

London: “Slow but steady erosion of its regional power and economic base” (Palatto, 2005) (branch plantism, no brand, diffuse knowledge, old economy anchor firms)

“Kitchener-Waterloo trounced London 9-1 in the latest contest between regional rivals” London Free Press headline on “Canada Tech100 Survey 2009”.

Institutional Logic: Knowledge Mobilization
embedded educational institutions; 1950s
conjuncture for UW science and engineering, IP
regime, coop program;

Framework Links: Tightly Coupled Innovation
Agenda
encompassing economic development framework
incorporates cultural/social dimensions, attracts
external public and private investments, facilitates
peer to peer mentoring and firm to firm networking;

Civic Entrepreneurship: Associational
‘economic community’ from 1950s Ira Needles
“Waterloo Plan” to Jim Balsillie “ Governance
Centres”

An enabling “Institutional Thickness”: CTT,
Communitech, Prosperity Council, Waterloo
Research and Technology Park,
Tamarack/Lutherwood Community, WRIEN, Digital
Media Corridor
Institutional Logic: *Growth Machine*
industrial lands strategy attracting manufacturing branch plants to serviced greenfields;

Framework Links: *Loosely Coupled “One-offs”*
Advance London, Downtown Revitalization, Creative City, Gateway City, UWO Health Sciences but ‘other-worldly’ Ivey Business School;

Civic Entrepreneurship: *Managerial economic and community silos* ‘stick to their sectoral knitting’

Waterloo Demonstrates Resilience

Learning Style: Directed Incrementalism

Development Trajectory: Extraordinary Second Tier City
“We have this history of repeatedly reinventing ourselves as changes in the economy have occurred” John Jung, CTT, 2009.
London is Seeking Direction

Learning Style: Disjointed Incrementalism

“When you go to K-W, their city council, their university, their chamber of commerce, they sing from the same hymn books ... in London you get different voices”. Glen Pearson, London MP, 2009

Development Trajectory: Ordinary Second Tier City

“We need to be innovative in our approach and work together as a community. We need to speak with a single voice and shape our own future” Amit Chakma, UWO President, 2009
### Part 4. What Accounts for this Divergence?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Waterloo</th>
<th>London</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Business leadership</strong></td>
<td>Economic Community Infrastructure: tradition of Ira Needles, Jim Balsillie</td>
<td>“Comparatively lethargic business community”; “modesty and conservative style” (<em>Next Economy</em>, 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Network configuration</strong></td>
<td>Fluid/cross-cutting</td>
<td>Closed/insulated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organizational structure</strong></td>
<td>Relational spaces</td>
<td>Sectoral places</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Developmental Dynamic</strong></td>
<td>Adaptation</td>
<td>Drift</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Speaking to Other “Paired Comparisons”?

- Saxenian (1994) “cultures of innovation”
  London resembles Route 128 (hierarchical competitive structure)
  Waterloo resembles Silicon Valley (flexible, experimental system)

  London resembles Youngstown (Bonding/competitive networks)
  Waterloo resembles Allentown (Bridging/cooperative networks)

- Ferman (1997) “arenas of innovation”
  London resembles Chicago (electoral conflict and political patronage)
  Waterloo resembles Pittsburgh (civic cooperation and social accommodation)