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SUMMARY

The ability to perturb genes in human cells is crucial for elucidating gene function and holds great potential for finding therapeutic targets for diseases such as cancer. To extend the catalog of human core and context-dependent fitness genes, we have developed a high-complexity second-generation genome-scale CRISPR-Cas9 gRNA library and applied it to fitness screens in five human cell lines. Using an improved Bayesian analytical approach, we consistently discover 5-fold more fitness genes than were previously observed. We present a list of 1,580 human core fitness genes and describe their general properties. Moreover, we demonstrate that context-dependent fitness genes accurately recapitulate pathway-specific genetic vulnerabilities induced by known oncogenes and reveal cell-type-specific dependencies for specific receptor tyrosine kinases, even in oncogenic KRAS backgrounds. Thus, rigorous identification of human cell line fitness genes using a high-complexity CRISPR-Cas9 library affords a high-resolution view of the genetic vulnerabilities of a cell.

INTRODUCTION

The Human Genome Project has yielded a fairly complete catalog of cellular components, and a major goal moving forward will be to classify all genetic elements involved in normal biological processes and disease (Lander, 2011). With advances in gene editing enabled by the CRISPR-Cas system (Cho et al., 2013; Cong et al., 2013; Jinek et al., 2012; Mali et al., 2013), it is no longer quixotic to seek a comprehensive picture of cellular circuitry for human cells.

Yeast research has helped to establish a conceptual framework for systematic genetics, providing a glimpse of the basic modular organization of a cell and the corresponding genetic landscape (Costanzo et al., 2010). Importantly, the basis for many advances in yeast genetics was the discovery and classification of essential and non-essential genes through systematic genetic knockout studies (Giaever et al., 2002; Winzeler et al., 1999). Yet, this binary classification was later demonstrated to be an artifact of laboratory conditions—while some genes do indeed seem to be constitutively essential, many others revealed fitness defects only under environmental stress or in different genetic backgrounds (i.e., context-dependent essentiality) (Hillenmeyer et al., 2008; Ramani et al., 2012).

The distinction between core and context-dependent essential genes is particularly relevant in humans, where a typical cell expresses perhaps two-thirds of the genome’s complement of genes (Hart et al., 2013). Distinguishing a tissue’s essential genes, and delineating them from the constitutive essentials shared across all tissues, may hold the key to unlocking tissue-specific disease. In tumors, this is the foundation for the concept of synthetic lethality (Hartwell et al., 1997): genes essential in tumor cells but not in adjacent normal tissues should make ideal therapeutic targets with high effectiveness and minimal side effects. However, identifying these context-specific
essentials has been no easy feat. To date, this field has been
constrained by technology: RNA interference (RNAi) has been
the best available tool but, despite improvements in reagent
design and analytical approaches, its utility is limited by imper-
fect mRNA knockdown and confounding off-target effects
(Echeverri et al., 2006; Moffat et al., 2007).

Moreover, incomplete knockdown adds a further complication
to negative selection growth screens using pooled library shRNA
reagents, where the final readout is typically a fold-change rela-
tive to an initial population. While a strong negative fold change
implies a growth defect, the scale of the defect is difficult to
quantify: a 50% reduction in proliferation rate could be driven
by anything from partial knockdown of a gene whose total loss
of function results in arrested or inviable cells to full knockdown
of a gene with only a 50% fitness defect. In this study, we define a
fitness gene as any gene whose perturbation causes a prolifera-
tion defect; essential genes are, by definition, a subset of fitness
genes. Pooled CRISPR-Cas approaches present a major opportunity
for systematic classification of human genetic elements into
functional categories and biological processes. Proof-of-con-
cept genome-wide knockout screens in human cell lines (Shalem
et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014) suggested that mammalian
cells harbored far more fitness genes than RNAi experiments
had previously uncovered (Hart et al., 2014). Screens for genes
whose loss of function enables drug and toxin resistance
(Koike-Yusa et al., 2014; Shalem et al., 2014; Wang et al.,
2014), accelerates metastasis (Chen et al., 2015), or influences
immune response (Parnas et al., 2015) confirm the vast improve-
ment that the CRISPR technology offers in mammalian genetic
screens.

We recently described the “Daisy Model” of gene essentiality
(Hart et al., 2014), wherein the set of fitness genes for each cell
line or tissue context are conceptually represented by the petals
of a flower. Petals overlap to varying degrees, but all contexts
share a common core of fitness genes that should be present
in every cell. We sought to harness the power of CRISPR screens
to expand our knowledge of both core and context-dependent
fitness genes by generating a high-complexity, second-genera-
tion lentiviral library targeting virtually all human protein-coding
genes. We used the library to screen five human cell lines, repres-
enting a cross-section of immortalized and cancer tissues, to
identify genes whose knockouts induced significant fitness de-
fects. This work lays the foundation for understanding the ge-
netic interaction network that underlies human cells at very
high resolution and how genetic and epigenetic variation across
cell types gives rise to differential genetic vulnerabilities that
may represent therapeutic opportunities in cancer and other
diseases.

RESULTS

High-Resolution Detection of Human Cell Line Fitness
Genes with an Ultra-complex CRISPR Library

We sought to confirm the utility of CRISPR as an improved
screening technology to extend the catalog of both the core
and context-dependent human fitness genes. In this study, we
define a fitness gene as any gene whose perturbation decreases
cell growth and proliferation. We relied on lessons learned from
first-generation CRISPR genetic screens (Shalem et al., 2014;
Wang et al., 2014) and other pooled screening approaches to
design a high-complexity, genome-scale guide RNA (gRNA)
library targeting human protein coding genes and random con-
trols. Briefly, we took the set of reference essentials and nones-
sentials from Hart et al. (2014) and identified all gRNAs in the
Shalem et al. (2014) data targeting these genes. As expected,
the fold-change distribution of all guides targeting essential
genesis (“essential gRNAs”) is strongly shifted relative to the dis-
tribution of guides targeting nonessential genes (Figures S1A
and S1B). To identify sequence biases that differentiated high-
performing essential gRNAs from low-performing ones, we
measured the frequency of each base at each position in the
guide of the top quintile of essential gRNA relative to the bottom
quintile. We noted a strong bias against uridine in the last four
positions of the guide sequence (Figure S1C), consistent with a
similar analysis performed by Wang et al. using their own library
of sequences targeting ribosomal genes (Wang et al., 2014).

Thus, we excluded all candidate guide sequences with U at
those positions, as well as guides with GC content < 45% or >
70% (Figure S1D) based on findings in Wang et al. (2014). Finally,
to minimize potential off-target effects, we excluded all can-
didate gRNAs with more than one genomic off-target site within
two mismatches of the guide-plus-PAM sequence (Figure S1E).

From the remaining pool of candidate gRNAs, we selected the
library based on a two-pass approach. For each gene, coding
exons were ordered 5’ to 3’ and the candidate guides for each
exon identified. For the first pass, only guides with no genomic
off-target sites were used. We iterated over the exons in order
and randomly selected one guide per exon. The process was
repeated until six guides were assigned, or until there were no
more candidate guides (Figure S1F). The resulting library tar-
gated 17,232 genes with a modal number of six guides per
gene (Figure S1G). In addition, this library also includes control
sequences targeting LacZ, EGFP, and luciferase, as well as as a
two sets of gRNAs targeting random loci on Chr10: 584 with
high specificity (no predicted off-target sites), and 796 “promis-
cuous gRNAs” with 20 or more predicted perfect binding sites
throughout the genome. These sequences were designed to
sample the phenotypic effects of off-target Cas9 cleavage. In
total, this library contains 91,320 sequences, hereafter referred to
as the “90k library.”

For the second pass, the process was repeated, this time al-
lowing candidate gRNA with one potential off-target hit (Fig-
ure S1F). We iterated over exons in order until up to 12 guides
were assigned to each gene. This supplemental library, in
conjunction with the 90k library, brings the total to 176,500 se-
quences targeting 17,661 protein-coding genes (Figure S1H),
which we call the TKO (Toronto KnockOut) library (Table S1).

We validated effectiveness of designed guides in Cas9-ex-
pressing cell lines by targeting several genes individually and
testing protein knockdown and cellular proliferation defects (Fig-
ures S2A–S2D). As a proof of concept, we also performed a po-
itive selection screen with HCT116 cells cultured in an excess of
thymidine to look for suppressors of the imposed G1/S arrest,
wherein we recovered 11 of 12 gRNAs targeting thymidine ki-
nase 1 (TK1) (Figures S2E–S2H), as expected (Meuth, 1989).
To identify human fitness genes in cell lines, we applied the 177k TKO library to HCT116 colorectal carcinoma cells stably expressing the Cas9 nuclease. After selection for infected cells and population sampling at time zero (T0), we conducted parallel negative selection screens for essential genes and sampled the evolving populations every 3 days from day 6 to day 18, or ~20 cell doublings. Genomic DNA from each time point was isolated and gRNA abundance was measured by deep sequencing of the integrated gRNA cassettes in order to monitor the change in abundance of each gRNA between the initial cell population and each of the subsequent five time points (Figures S2E and S2F). gRNAs targeting fitness genes were expected to drop out of the initial population and result in a lower proportion of total sequences, while the proportion of gRNAs targeting non-fitness genes is maintained. We repeated the screens in triplicate in HCT116 cells and also screened HeLa cervical carcinoma cells and hTERT immortalized RPE1 retinal epithelial cells with the first-pass 90k library (Figure 1A).

We adapted the analytical pipeline described previously in Hart et al. (2014) to classify fitness genes and to evaluate the quality of the screens. Using the gold-standard sets of 360 essential and 927 nonessential genes defined in that study, we observed that the fold-change distribution of gRNAs targeting essential genes is significantly shifted relative to those targeting nonessential genes, and that the shift increases with time (Figures S3A–S3E). We then made improvements to the algorithm described in (Hart et al., 2014), which we call the Bayesian Analysis of Gene Essentiality (“BAGEL”) approach, to calculate a log Bayes factor (BF) for each gene. More positive scores indicate higher confidence that a given gene’s knockout causes a decrease in fitness but are not necessarily a measure of the severity of the phenotype. Unlike most other analytical methods for large-scale gene perturbation studies, BAGEL uses the data from all reagents and all samples in a screen and therefore provides a ready framework for the integration of experiments with many reagents per gene and multiple time points (see Supplemental Information).

The TKO Library Screens Identify More Fitness Genes than Any Other RNAi or CRISPR Screen
For each of the screens that we carried out, BFs were calculated for all targeted genes (Table S2). We also used BAGEL to calculate derived glioblastoma cell line (GBM) and hTERT immortalized RPE1 retinal epithelial cells with the first-pass 90k library (Figure 1A).

We adapted the analytical pipeline described previously in Hart et al. (2014) to classify fitness genes and to evaluate the quality of the screens. Using the gold-standard sets of 360 essential and 927 nonessential genes defined in that study, we observed that the fold-change distribution of gRNAs targeting essential genes is significantly shifted relative to those targeting nonessential genes, and that the shift increases with time (Figures S3A–S3E). We then made improvements to the algorithm described in (Hart et al., 2014), which we call the Bayesian Analysis of Gene Essentiality (“BAGEL”) approach, to calculate a log Bayes factor (BF) for each gene. More positive scores indicate higher confidence that a given gene’s knockout causes a decrease in fitness but are not necessarily a measure of the severity of the phenotype. Unlike most other analytical methods for large-scale gene perturbation studies, BAGEL uses the data from all reagents and all samples in a screen and therefore provides a ready framework for the integration of experiments with many reagents per gene and multiple time points (see Supplemental Information).

The TKO Library Screens Identify More Fitness Genes than Any Other RNAi or CRISPR Screen
For each of the screens that we carried out, BFs were calculated for all targeted genes (Table S2). We also used BAGEL to calculate
BFs for a previous screen in the A375 melanoma cell line performed with the first generation 65k gRNA GeCKo library (Shalem et al., 2014). To evaluate the consistency of our results, we measured the Pearson correlation coefficient of the BF distributions of all pairs of screens (Figure 1B). All TKO library screens showed very high correlation, with most having correlation coefficients between 0.65 and 0.75, while the replicate HCT116 screens had a correlation coefficient of 0.86. The A375 screen showed lower but consistent correlation with the TKO library screens, suggesting that the BAGEL algorithm could be used to estimate screen quality across different libraries and experiments.

The use of gold-standard reference sets enables the unbiased evaluation of screen performance. After merging the replicate HCT116 screens, we calculated precision-recall curves for the six cell lines (Figure 1C). All TKO library screens showed very high performance, with ~2,000 fitness genes identified in each cell line at a 5% false discovery rate (FDR; Figure 1D). Both 90k and 177k library screens showed similar sensitivity, with HeLa cells yielding the lowest number of fitness genes despite using the larger library, possibly due to high ploidy in HeLa cells. Overall, TKO library screens identified ~40% more fitness genes than the GeCKo screen in A375 (n = 1,270 genes), likely due to the combination of improved design and larger library size, but all CRISPR screens captured 4- to 5-fold more fitness genes than a high-quality genome-wide pooled library shRNA screen in the HCT116 cell line previously performed in our lab (Vizeacoumar et al., 2013), in which only 433 fitness genes were identified at the same FDR. The key driver for the difference in sensitivity appears to be expression level of the target gene: lentiviral-mediated pooled library shRNA screens show high specificity only for high expression targets, while CRISPR screens accurately detect fitness genes across a broad range of expression levels (Figures 1E and S3I).

**Functional Characterization of Core Fitness Genes**

A common aim of fitness screens in cell lines is to identify those genes whose loss of function is lethal only in a specific genetic background or environmental context. These “synthetic lethal” or context-specific essentials must be differentiated from core essentials, which should in principle be detectable in every screen for cell line fitness genes. We previously introduced the concept of the Daisy Model of gene essentiality (Hart et al., 2014), where the fitness genes in each tissue or context are represented by a petal (Figure 2A). Petals overlap to varying degrees but all share a common set of core genes that should be detectable in every cell line. We estimated the core fitness genes by considering the frequency with which each fitness gene was observed across the five TKO cell lines. Of the 4,054 total hits, 1,580 were observed in three or more of the five cell lines, with more than half (829, 52%) observed in all five (Figure 2B).

We defined these 1,580 hits as core fitness genes and analyzed their functional and genomic properties. Perhaps the most striking property was the strong enrichment of protein complexes among this gene set. The number of fitness genes among subunits of selected large (Figure 2C) and small (Figure 2D) protein complexes is compared to the number of subunits among 823 essentials identified across 72 genome-scale shRNA screens of cancer cell lines (Hart et al., 2014). In virtually every case, the five CRISPR screens identified more subunits of a given complex than all 72 shRNA screens, and several complexes are identified exclusively by CRISPR. On average, CRISPR classified 68% of complex members as hits versus only 35% for RNAi. The only exception where RNAi outperformed CRISPR was the ribosome, whose subunits tend to be targeted by a smaller number of guides in the TKO library (Figure S3J).

Among the complexes detected exclusively by TKO, 111 subunits are classified as fitness genes; of these, over 60% (n = 68; Figure 2E) are expressed at moderate levels, where RNAi is less sensitive. Notably, fitness genes that are highly expressed, but still found only by CRISPR, are enriched for genes whose proteins are localized to mitochondria. It was previously observed that some nuclear-encoded mitochondrial proteins have relatively short mRNA half-lives (Tani et al., 2012). Comparing high-expression fitness genes detected by RNAi and CRISPR in HCT116 cells to mRNA half-life data from HeLa cells (Tani et al., 2012) shows that those detected only by CRISPR tend to have much shorter half-lives than those detected by RNAi (Figure 2F), which may partially explain the lack of sensitivity of RNAi screens in detecting these important genes linked with mitochondria.

The Daisy Model predicts that core fitness genes are enriched for fundamental cellular processes such as transcription, translation, and replication. Analyzing the core fitness genes for biological process annotation enrichment confirms this prediction: genes involved in RNA splicing, translation, and DNA replication and repair are more than 4-fold overrepresented among these genes (Figure 2G). Conversely, genes involved in cell-cell communication and organismal development are more than 4-fold depleted, consistent with expectations when screening homogeneous cell cultures.

We then examined a number of physiological and evolutionary properties of the core fitness genes (Figures 2H and 2I). First, we observed that they show strong overlap with human orthologs of both yeast cell and fly cell-line essentials, as well as whole-organism worm and mouse essentials (Figure 2H and Supplemental Information). In contrast, non-core fitness genes (observed in 1–2 cell lines) include less than half as many essential orthologs. Second, core fitness genes show more than 10-fold higher average expression across the ~1,000 cell lines in the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (Barretina et al., 2012) than nonfitness genes and display lower expression variance (Figure 2I), indicating that these genes exhibit constitutive, invariant expression across tissues. Third, and as with previous studies in model organisms, core fitness genes show roughly 4-fold more interaction partners in protein-protein and functional interaction networks. Fourth, core fitness genes also show a lower ratio of nonsynonymous to synonymous mutations when compared to all mouse orthologs, indicating purifying selection on fitness genes. Consistent with this observation, core fitness genes also show lower frequency of deleterious variation across human exomes. However, core fitness genes are neither enriched nor depleted for disease genes, contrary to the depletion for disease genes observed in the smaller set of RNAi-derived, high-expression core fitness genes described in Hart et al. (2014). Lastly, while core fitness genes have similar transcript length and coding sequence length to non-fitness genes, they tend to have
Figure 2. Core Fitness Genes

(A) The Daisy Model of gene essentiality, where each tissue/context is represented by a petal on the flower. Petals overlap to varying degrees but all share a common core of housekeeping essential genes.

(B) Distribution of hits at 5% FDR across the five cell lines assayed with the TKO library. We define hits observed in 3 or more cell lines as core essential genes (n = 1,580).

(C) Essential protein complexes. Number of subunits in the core TKO fitness genes (beige) is compared to the number of subunits in the set of 823 global RNAi essentials from (15) (green) and the total size of the complex (white box).

(D) Small essential complexes, shaded as in (C).

(E) For complexes detected exclusively by TKO (not RNAi), nearly 2/3 show moderate gene expression (shading as in Figure 1E). Highly expressed genes are enriched for mitochondrial proteins.

(F) Distribution of mRNA half-life of fitness genes detected by CRISPR and/or RNAi.

(G) Selected biological processes enriched or depleted in TKO core essentials (beige), depicted as fold-change relative to random expectation. Green bars are functional enrichment/depletion in RNAi global essentials (13).

(H) Intersection of core fitness genes with human orthologs of essential genes in yeast, worm, fly, and mouse.

(I) Other physiological and evolutionary properties of core fitness genes, plotted as fold-change of core fitness genes relative to non-fitness genes.
more exons (median 11 for fitness genes versus 7 exons for non-fitness genes).

**Inferring the Function of Uncharacterized Core Fitness Genes**

There still remains a significant portion of human genes that are uncharacterized or unstudied; part of the “dark matter” of the genome. We selected four predicted core essential genes (ANKRD49, ZNF830, CCDC84, and RBM48) that have few PubMed citations and gene ontology (GO) annotations (Figures 3A and 3B) and confirmed that all four genes are critical for cell proliferation in HCT116 and HeLa cells by independent treatment with single gRNAs (Figure 3C; \( p < 0.05 \), Student’s t test; Figure S4A). CRISPR knockout of ZNF830 and RBM48 with multiple independent gRNAs showed signs of apoptosis as measured by cleaved Caspase 7 and cleaved PARP (Figure S4B). Notably, all four of these genes are expressed at moderate levels refractory to RNAi perturbation. In order to infer the function of each of these genes, we expressed V5-tagged open reading frames (ORFs) of the four genes in HEK293T and HCT116 cells to examine their subcellular localization and protein interaction patterns. By immunofluorescence,

**Figure 3. Novel Uncharacterized Fitness Genes**

(A and B) Distributions of the number of PubMed citations (A) or GO terms (B) linked to each gene symbol in Entrez Gene for core fitness genes (gold line) versus non-fitness genes (gray line). Dotted lines indicate median value for each distribution. Position of selected uncharacterized fitness genes in the distribution is shown. CCDC84 had no associated GO terms.

(C) Cell viability assays in HCT116 and HeLa cells infected with lentiviral-based gRNA expression cassettes, as measured by AlamarBlue staining 10 days post-selection. Three different gRNA expression cassettes were used per gene. Data are represented as means ± SD of 3 replicates \(( n = 3 \text{ cassettes} \times 3 \text{ triplicates})\). ***\( p < 0.001 \), **\( p < 0.01 \), and *\( p < 0.05 \); two-tailed unpaired t test.

(D) Immunofluorescence of 293T cells stably expressing V5-tagged ORFs. Subcellular localization of ORFs was detected with an anti-V5 antibody (green), and nuclei were stained using DAPI (blue).

(E) Summary of the protein-interaction network of ANKRD49, ZNF830, CCDC84, and RBM48 detected by AP-MS analysis. V5- or 3xFLAG-tagged ORFs of the indicated proteins were immunoprecipitated from HEK293T and HCT116 cells, and interactors were identified by mass spectrometry analysis. Bait proteins are indicated by rectangles and prey proteins by circles. The color of the node corresponds to the Bayes Factor essentiality score in HCT116 cells, and the width of the edges corresponds to the number of times the interaction was detected. Results from five independent AP-MS experiments are shown for ANKRD49 and ZNF830 and four independent AP-MS experiments for CCDC84 and RBM48.

(F) Co-immunoprecipitation of RBM48 and ARMC7 from HCT116 cell lysates. HCT116 cells stably expressing V5-tagged RBM48, ARMC7, or LacZ (control) were transfected with the indicated VA (3xFLAG)-tagged ORFs of ARMC7 or RBM48 and immunoprecipitated with anti-V5 antibody and anti-FLAG antibody. β-actin was used as a loading control for input samples.
ANKRD49 showed staining distributed throughout the cell, whereas ZNF830, CCDC84, and RBM48 exhibited enriched nuclear staining (Figure 3D and data not shown). Immunoprecipitations from HEK293T and HCT116 cell lysates expressing V5- and 3×FLAG-tagged ORFs followed by mass spectrometry (IP-MS) identified protein interactions with all four bait proteins (Figure 3E and Table S3). With each of the four bait proteins, robust protein-protein interactions with other core fitness genes were detected, suggesting that these uncharacterized fitness genes may also encode members of essential protein complexes. For example, ANKRD49 was found to associate strongly with FKBPL and together likely function as an Hsp90 co-chaperone to promote aspects of protein folding (Taipale et al., 2014). ZNF830 and CCDC84 interacted with different sets of proteins that are predicted to participate in mRNA splicing (Figure 3E). Notably, ZNF830 was previously found to associate with the XAB2 splicing complex (De et al., 2015; Kuraoka et al., 2008), whereas CCDC84 may be a component of the PRPF splicing complex. Finally, an interaction between RNA-binding motif containing protein RBM48 and the product of the uncharacterized fitness gene ARMC7 was detected by IP-MS and confirmed by co-immunoprecipitation (Figure 3F). RBM48 and ARMC7 have been found to be amplified across several cancer tissues and cell lines (Figures S4C and S4E), and both genes show an enrichment for copy number amplification and a corresponding depletion for copy loss relative to a pool of nonessential genes (Figures S4D and S4F). RBM48 contains an RNA-binding motif, and ARMC7 is an Armadillo-repeat containing protein, which suggests that this RBM48-ARMC7 essential protein complex may have a role in RNA metabolism and/or transcription.

Selective Dependence on Pathways Harboring Known Driver Mutations

Defining core fitness genes provides an important foundation for identifying synthetic lethal genes that illuminate context-specific dependencies on signaling pathways and biological processes, which in turn provide candidate tumor-specific therapeutic targets. The cells assayed here for fitness genes represent a cross-section of common cancer genotypes whose differential genetic vulnerabilities highlight actionable targets and pathways. For example, we expected to observe selective dependence on the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway, as three of our cell lines harbor driver mutations in this pathway (KRASG13D in DLD1 and HCT116, and BRAFV600E in A375). The canonical MAPK pathway carries signals transduced by various cell surface receptors (Figure 4A), which regulate many cellular processes, including cell proliferation, cell survival, and cell-cycle control (Lemmon and Schlessinger, 2010). We observe multiple hits downstream of the driver mutations in all three MAPK pathway mutants (Figure 4B), including selective PI3K dependency in the KRAS mutant background, consistent with KRAS activation of the PI3K/AKT pathway (Boj et al., 2015; Castellano and Downward, 2011). As the stringent sequence identity filters used to generate the TKO library resulted in only one gRNA targeting the KRAS gene, KRAS itself does not emerge as a fitness gene. Similarly, the GeCKo library screen does not identify BRAF as a hit in the A375 cell line. Nevertheless, the overall trend of oncogene-driven MAPK pathway dependency is strongly affirmed.
In addition to the oncogene-driven MAPK activity, we observed differential p53 regulation consistent with the cells’ genotypes. The canonical p53 protein degradation pathway involves ubiquitination by the E3 protein-ubiquitin ligase MDM2 (Figure 4C) (Momand et al., 2000). Three of our wild-type TP53 cell lines are dependent on MDM2, and the related p53 inhibitor MDM4 is also a hit in two of those three lines (Figure 4D; FDR < 5%). HeLa cells also carry wild-type TP53 but are driven by human papilloma virus (HPV) infection, where the HPV protein E6 mediates p53 degradation through its interaction with the endogenous UBE3A E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase (Scheffner et al., 1993) (Figure 4C). Importantly, we observed that HeLa cells require UBE3A for proliferation, but not MDM2 or MDM4. In contrast, DLD1 cells have an oncogenic TP53S241F mutation (Ahmed et al., 2013; Sur et al., 2009), circumventing dependency on these protein degradation pathways. Differential response to treatment with Nutlin-3a, which inhibits MDM2 protein interaction with and subsequent degradation of p53, confirms the selective dependence on this interaction (Figure 4E) and is consistent with prior observations of genotype-dependent response to MDM2 inhibition (Sur et al., 2009). Neither MDM2 nor MDM4 are discovered in the HCT116 RNAi screen, demonstrating both the improved sensitivity and specificity that TKO fitness screens provide.

Identification of Novel Context-Specific Vulnerabilities

While the genetic dependencies that emerge as a consequence of the known oncogenic drivers demonstrate the sensitivity of the CRISPR screens, the large number of fitness genes identified in each cell line enables a data-driven approach to discovering context-specific vulnerabilities. We took the fitness genes in each cell line and subtracted the core fitness genes to specify a set of context-specific genes for each line (Figure 5A). We then analyzed each set of genes for enrichment in Gene Ontology biological process or cellular component annotations, using the GOrilla web service (Eden et al., 2009). Comparing enriched terms revealed that each cell line had a highly unique signature of essential biological processes and associated components (Figure 5B). We noted that the “telomere maintenance” pathway was enriched only in RPE1 cells, which is consistent with that cell line having been immortalized by telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT; Bodnar et al., 1998). We discovered unexpected differential hits across several receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) upstream of the MAPK signaling pathway described earlier (Figures 4B and 5C). To our surprise, the epidermal growth factor receptor EGFR was a strong hit in KRASG13D DLD1 cells, along with signal transduction adapters SHC1, GRB2, and SOS1 (Figure 5D). In contrast, KRASG13D HCT116 cells showed no hits in this pathway. DLD1 cells show a selective response to EGFR inhibitor erlotinib (Figure 5E), confirming this observation. Unlike HCT116, DLD1 cells carry a TP53S241F mutation, which we hypothesized might be related to the EGFR dependency; interestingly, HPAF-II cells also carry both KRAS (G12D) and TP53 (P151S) mutations and are also sensitive to erlotinib (Figure S5A). However, further examination of a series of isogenic HCT116 cell lines with varying TP53 genotypes, and DLD1 cells with varying KRAS genotypes, indicated that TP53 genotype does not re-sensitize HCT116 cells to erlotinib (Figure S5B). Thus, dependency on signaling components upstream of a well-characterized driver oncogene violates predictions that the driver mutation disconnects cells from upstream signal relays and highlights potential differences in therapeutic options even within well-defined subtypes.

Other RTKs also showed differential sensitivity across our screens. GO biological process term “insulin receptor signaling,” enriched in HeLa-specific fitness genes, includes fibroblast growth receptor FGFR1 and insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor IGFR1. HeLa cells showed specific sensitivity to the FGFR1 inhibitor PD173074 (Figure 5F), as predicted by comparing FGFR1 hits across cell lines (Figure 5D), while treatment with custom synthetic human antibodies generated against IGFR1 that block IGF stimulation of IGFR1 (Figure S5C) reduced cell viability in HeLa and A375 but not in HCT116 cells (Figure 5G).

The GO term enrichment analysis also suggested a surprising and specific dependency on mitochondrial activity (Figure 5B). In particular, fitness genes in HCT116 are highly enriched for subunits of electron transport chain complex I; as predicted, growth of HCT116 cells was strongly inhibited by complex I inhibitor rotenone (Figures 5H and 5I) and by biguanide derivatives phenformin (Figure S5D) and metformin (Figure 5J), an inhibitor of oxidative phosphorylation (Bridges et al., 2014) that is commonly prescribed for type 2 diabetes. Similarly, DLD1 fitness genes are enriched for genes encoding subunits of the mitochondrial ribosome (Figure 5B). Several antibacterial drugs, including linezolid (Nagiec et al., 2005), tigecycline (Olson et al., 2006), and chloramphenicol (McKee et al., 2006), have been shown to specifically inhibit mitochondrial ribosome function in mammalian cells (Figure 5H) (Skrtic et al., 2011). As predicted, DLD1 cells show preferential sensitivity to these small molecules (Figures 5K, 5L, and S5E).

In addition to fitness genes that were negatively selected in our screens and conditionally required for cell proliferation, we also identified context-specific genes whose knockout enhanced proliferation. Since BAGEL is designed to identify negatively selected genes, we used the MAGeCK algorithm (Li et al., 2014) and identified a number of positively selected genes from our screens. For example, the HeLa screen identified components of the Hippo tumor suppressor pathway, including NF2/merlin (adjusted p = 0.005) and LATS2 (p = 0.018). The GBM screen identified the tumor suppressor gene TP53 (p = 0.001), as well as other potential candidate tumor suppressor genes, including the nuclear exportin XPO7 (p = 0.001) and DHX29/DEAH-box protein 29 (p = 0.001). The RPE1 screen identified TP53 (p = 0.005), as well as a number of additional candidate tumor suppressor genes, including PDCD10/CCM3, mutations in which are one cause of cerebral cavernous malformations. Taken together, our screens identified both negatively and positively selected genes simultaneously and report clear results across different genetic backgrounds.

Guides Targeting Random Loci Suggest Negligible Off-Target Effects

A key design criterion for the TKO library was strict control over potential off-target effects by excluding candidate gRNA with multiple genomic binding sites, even including up to two
mismatches. To more systematically explore the phenotypic effect of off-target gRNA binding, we examined the fold changes of the TKO library guides targeting random loci on chromosome 10. Guides with only one predicted target site (n = 584) show negligible fold-change distribution, similar to guides targeting nonessential genes (Figure S1I). However, promiscuous
gRNAs—those targeting at least 20 genomic loci (n = 796)—are more similar to guides targeting essential genes (Figure S1I). This behavior is consistent across all five TKO cell lines and suggests that off-target effects only become an issue in negative selection screens if there are many target sites; the odds of a single random off-target locus causing a significant phenotype appear to be quite low.

**DISCUSSION**

We developed and used the TKO library to conduct genetic screens to identify fitness genes in human cell lines. We identified ~2,000 high-confidence fitness genes in each cell line, four to five times more genes than an RNAi screen yielded at the same false discovery rate. Using the Daisy Model as a framework, we classified 1,580 genes as core fitness genes (hits in 3 or more of the 5 cell lines). This vastly expands the catalog of human cell line fitness genes; by way of comparison, the number of CRISPR-identified core human fitness genes that have essential yeast orthologs (n = 569) is more than 50% larger than the entire set of core fitness genes identified by systematic analysis of 174 human cell-line shRNA screens (n = 360; Hart et al., 2014).

Of particular interest is the strong enrichment among core fitness genes for well-annotated protein complexes. Enlarging the census of essential protein complexes has potentially major chemotherapeutic implications. In a recent study, Nijhawan et al. (2012) discovered that partial copy loss of subunits of some essential protein complexes—presumably as passenger losses related to genetic deletion of nearby tumor suppressors—renders cells more susceptible to inhibition of those complexes. The study relied on RNAi to identify genetic susceptibility and yielded results enriched for highly expressed ribosome, proteasome, and spliceosome subunits. Consistent with this hypothesis, Liu et al. (2015) report that the RNA polymerase II subunit POLR2A, located at cytoband 17p13, is frequently deleted along with neighboring tumor suppressor TPS3. This copy loss results in selective sensitivity to perturbation of either the POLR2A gene, by RNAi, or of RNA polymerase complex function by α-amanitin. RNA polymerase II is one of many essential complexes for which specific inhibitors already exist, which could lead to repurposing of existing drugs to target tumor-specific haplo-insufficiencies. With the CRISPR core fitness genes, we expand the hypothesis of passenger-driven genetic vulnerability by extending the list of candidates to dozens of protein complexes comprised of hundreds of subunits.

This set of core fitness genes should prove useful across many disciplines of human genetics and genomics. In cancer genomics, chromosomally unstable tumors should, in principle, show lower frequency of deletion at fitness gene loci than random. In population genetics, these loci should show lower rates of deleterious mutation and copy number variation, based on the Daisy Model hypothesis that cell line fitness genes are a subset of organism-level fitness genes. Furthermore, the census of core fitness genes will be refined as the number of high-quality cell line genetic screens expands and researchers integrate these results with other functional genomics and orthology data. Finally, this refined core will be used as an updated yardstick to evaluate improvements in both screening technologies and analytical methods.

Though preliminary, our census of core fitness genes provides a foundation for identifying context-specific fitness genes that illuminate biological differences between cell types and warrants further research into genotype-driven therapeutic targets for cancer. Each cell line we assayed offered a unique signature of essential biological processes, many of which we confirmed by orthogonal small-molecule or antibody inhibitors. In general, essential pathways were consistent with expectations based on known oncogenic drivers—cell lines with KRAS or BRAF driver mutations, for example, rely on downstream MAPK pathway elements, and wild-type TP53 cells showed vulnerability to inhibition of MDM2. However, we also observed counterintuitive differences between ostensibly similar cell lines. Both HCT116 and DLD1 are KRAS-mutant colorectal cancer cell lines, but DLD1 also relies on EGFR signaling, contradicting the model that activating mutations decouple biological pathways from upstream signaling. HCT116, on the other hand, demonstrates a specific dependence on ETC complex I activity and selective inhibition in response to metformin, a widely prescribed antidiabetic drug. Lower cancer rates among metformin users have been observed for years, leading to clinical interest in metformin as an anticancer agent (Jalving et al., 2010). This preliminary work strongly supports the idea that oxidative phosphorylation dependency—a clear exception to the Warburg effect—is a targetable weakness of some tumors.

It is tempting to ascribe the differences between these two similar cell lines to other differences among well-characterized cancer genes—for example, TP53, which carries an oncogenic mutation in DLD1 but not HCT116. However, such an observation is at best correlative, given only two cell lines. We emphasize that it is the discovery of this unexpected variation, confirmed through orthogonal assays, that represents the true novelty of this aspect of our results, though we cannot currently explain the source of this variation. In fact, we are far from understanding the genetic and epigenetic rewiring of cells that give rise to these selective vulnerabilities. It took a decade of technology development, systematic experimentation, and advanced analysis to map the genetic network of a yeast cell in a single growth condition (Costanzo et al., 2010), and we are only just beginning to understand the dynamics of that network (Bandyopadhyay et al., 2010). Translating that work into humans must take into account the “dimension problem” of more diverse genotypes, more epigenetic states/tissue types, and more environmental conditions (i.e., growth media and required supplements for different cell lines). Nevertheless, with the advent of CRISPR-Cas9 technology, this goal may finally be achievable. Future systematic high-resolution fitness screens across multiple query knockout strains in an isogenic background, analogous to the yeast approach, should yield a first draft of the human genetic network. Concurrent screening of a large, diverse panel of well-characterized cancer cell lines (Boehm and Golub, 2015) may tell us how genetic variation changes that network and how to take advantage of the surprising emergent vulnerabilities of specific tumors. This work advances the current state of the art for those future studies and provides a crucial baseline for their analysis and integration.
EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Online Resources
TKO library sequences, raw and processed data from the experiments described here, and details on the BAGEL software can be downloaded at the TKO website at http://tko.ccb.r.utoronto.ca.

Genome-Scale Lentiviral gRNA Library Design and Construction of the TKO Library
gRNA target sites containing the N20NGG motif were chosen from protein-coding exons and filtered for nucleotide composition and transcription position. gRNAs were synthesized as 58-mer oligonucleotides on two microarrays (CustomArray), each with a density of ~90,000 sequences, and amplified by PCR as a pool. The PCR products were purified and cloned into pLCKO vector. Colonies were scraped off plates and pooled, and the plasmid DNA was extracted. Library virus was produced in HEK293T cells, and MOI was determined for each cell line screened (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures).

Pooled gRNA Depletion Screens
Cas9-expressing cells were infected with the lentiviral TKO library at an MOI ~0.3 such that every gRNA is represented in ~270 cells. 24 hr after infection, infected cells were selected with puromycin for 48–72 hr. After selection, cells were split into three replicates, passed every 3 days, and maintained at 200-fold coverage. Cells were collected at a 200-fold coverage for genomic DNA extraction at day 0 and every 3 days from day 6 to day 18 post-selection.

Genomic DNA was extracted from cell pellets, gRNA inserts were amplified via PCR using primers harboring Illumina TruSeq adapters with i5 and i7 barcodes, and the resulting libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq2500 (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures).

Analysis of Negative Selection Screens: The BAGEL Algorithm
The BAGEL algorithm was used to calculate a Bayes factor for each gene representing a confidence measure that the gene knockout results in a fitness defect. The algorithm uses reference sets of essential (n = 360) and nonessential (n = 927) genes from Hart et al. (2014). Gene-level Bayes factors for all screens described in the manuscript are available in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

Analysis of Screen False Discovery Rates Using Gene Expression
In addition to using the gold standard reference sets, we evaluated screen quality by an independent method comparing essential genes to gene expression. A background error rate for each screen was calculated by taking all genes with trace/no expression (logFPKM < -2) and measuring the fraction classified as essential, assuming that these trace/no expression genes are false positives (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures).

Identifying Core Fitness Genes
We chose a per-screen false discovery rate (FDR, 1-Precision) of 5% as a cut-off. Genes with BFs above the threshold in the given cell line were considered fitness genes in that cell line. Genes observed in 3 or more of the 5 TKO screens (n = 1,580) were considered “core fitness genes” and retained for further analyses (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures).

ACCESSION NUMBERS
The accession number for the RNA-seq data reported in this paper is GEO: GSE75189.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, five figures, and three tables and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.11.015.
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