The erythropoietin receptor is a downstream effector of Klotho-induced cytoprotection
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Abstract

Although the role of the erythropoietin (Epo) receptor (EpoR) in erythropoiesis has been known for decades, its role in non-hematopoietic tissues is still not well defined. Klotho has been shown and Epo has been suggested to protect against acute ischemia-reperfusion injury in the kidney. Here we found in rat kidney and in a rat renal tubular epithelial cell line (NRK cells) EpoR transcript and antigen, and EpoR activity signified as Epo-induced phosphorylation of Jak2, ErK, Akt, and Stat5 indicating the presence of functional EpoR. Transgenic overexpression of Klotho or addition of exogenous recombinant Klotho increased kidney EpoR protein and transcript. In NRK cells, Klotho increased EpoR protein, enhanced Epo-triggered phosphorylation of Jak2 and Stat5, the nuclear translocation of phospho-Stat5, and protected NRK cells from hydrogen peroxide cytotoxicity. Knock-down of endogenous EpoR rendered NRK cells more vulnerable, and overexpression of EpoR more resistant to peroxide-induced cytotoxicity, indicating that EpoR mitigates oxidative damage. Knock-down of EpoR by siRNA abolished Epo-induced Jak2, and Stat5 phosphorylation, and blunted the protective effect of Klotho against peroxide-induced cytotoxicity. Thus in the kidney, EpoR and its activity are downstream effectors of Klotho enabling it to function as cytoprotective protein against oxidative injury.
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INTRODUCTION

Erythropoietin (EPO) is a glycoprotein hormone originally characterized as the principal regulator of erythropoiesis by inhibiting apoptosis and stimulating proliferation and differentiation of erythroid precursor cells.\textsuperscript{1,2} EPO production is from the liver in the fetus,\textsuperscript{2} overlaps with mesonephros and metanephros, and completely switches to the kidney postnatally.\textsuperscript{1-3} EPO transduces its signaling via the EPO receptor (EpoR) in hematopoietic cells to regulate erythropoiesis as a complex multi-step process of differentiation of early erythroid progenitors to enucleated red blood cells.\textsuperscript{4} \textit{In vitro} and \textit{in vivo} experiments suggests that EPO/EpoR cell signaling may have beneficial effect on ischemia or hypoxia-induced tissue injury in brain,\textsuperscript{5,6} heart,\textsuperscript{7-10} and kidney.\textsuperscript{11-13} However, the literature at this stage is far from uniform. At least in the kidney, there are also studies that showed no or even adverse effects of EPO administration on acute ischemia injury.\textsuperscript{14-16} Even if exogenous EPO or erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (ESA) indeed confer tissue protection as shown in some studies\textsuperscript{17,18} the mechanisms of their actions remain elusive. Further understanding along this line will help resolve the controversy and decipher whether there is a therapeutic application in the horizon.

There is emerging and convincing evidence that EpoR is expressed in non-hematopoietic tissues\textsuperscript{19} such as the brain,\textsuperscript{20} heart, lung,\textsuperscript{21} kidney,\textsuperscript{22,23} vascular endothelium,\textsuperscript{24} smooth muscle cells,\textsuperscript{25} and skeletal muscle cells.\textsuperscript{26} The paracrine and autocrine EPO/EpoR axis has been proposed to participate in a myriad of biologic processes including cell proliferation, apoptosis, angiogenesis, organogenesis, cytoprotection against ischemia, tissue repair, and carcinogenesis.\textsuperscript{27} Deletion of EpoR leads to severe tissue damage, slow tissue regeneration, and reduced angiogenesis after ischemia in mice.\textsuperscript{28,29} An alternative and contrary paradigm has been proposed where functional EpoR is restricted absolutely and exclusively to the erythropoietic lineage and extra-erythropoietic EpoR's are all non-functional.\textsuperscript{30-33} This discrepancy is not yet resolved and the mechanism of EPO effect on non-erythropoietic tissues needs to be defined.

Klotho was originally touted as an anti-aging protein but since has been discovered to exert a host of biologic effects on multiple systems.\textsuperscript{34} Klotho is a single-pass transmembrane protein but a secreted soluble form of Klotho can be generated by alternative splicing or proteolytic cleavage from membrane Klotho and be released into blood thus functioning as a circulating substance\textsuperscript{35,36} to exert multiple systemic biological actions on distant organs.\textsuperscript{37} Klotho is principally synthesized in kidney and brain, but it is expressed in multiple organs.\textsuperscript{34,37} Recent studies suggest that either overexpression of transmembrane or administration of secreted Klotho exert protective effects against ischemia-reperfusion-induced acute kidney injury.\textsuperscript{38,39} We inquired whether the protective effects of Klotho in the kidney have any relationship with EpoR. The main goals of the present study are: 1) To provide an independent determination of whether there is EpoR protein and activity in the kidney and cultured kidney cells; 2) To establish a cell culture model to study EpoR function; 3) To test if the protective effect of Klotho against oxidative cytotoxicity involves the EpoR. We showed that EpoR mRNA, protein, and activity are present in the kidney and kidney cells, and that Klotho acutely and chronically increases EpoR transcript and protein, and EpoR-dependent signal transduction. In addition, knock-down of EpoR enhances, and overexpression decreases, susceptibility to oxidative injury. Finally, the protective effect of Klotho against H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}-induced cytotoxicity is partially abrogated by deletion of endogenous EpoR. In concert, the data suggests that EpoR is a downstream signaling component involved in Klotho’s cytoprotective effect.
RESULTS

Klotho modulates the expression of EpoR transcript, protein and function in kidney

Numerous studies suggested that EpoR is widely expressed in non-hematopoietic tissues and cells. We strived to further confirm this in the kidney. First, we found unequivocal evidence of EpoR mRNA in adult rat kidney (Figure 1A). Microdissected structures from rat kidneys further provide confirmation of EpoR location. Figure 1A reveals that proximal tubules and inner medullary collecting duct express EpoR mRNA, but other segments have low or undetectable EpoR mRNA expression, which is consistent with the findings of De Beuf and coworkers.40 We next explored whether EpoR mRNA is accompanied by EpoR protein expression in the kidney. We first used BaF3 cells stably expressing HA murine EpoR and probed both lysates and HA immunoprecipitated complex with different EpoR antibodies to confirm these reagents do indeed label the EpoR. Similar to HA antibody, two bands of ~60 and ~50 kDa were detected with M-20 and Fab 6 antibodies in both cell lysate. The ~60 kDa band was detected in the HA-immunoprecipitates (Figure 1B). These bands are indeed the EpoR, because they were not present in lysates and immunoprecipitates from non-transfected cells. A82 which is human-specific31 is weakly cross-reactive or non-reactive with rodent EpoR.30,32 We next examined EpoR protein in the mouse, rat and human kidney and compared its expression to known EpoR expressing cells (Ter119-cells and mouse fetal liver cells) using several antibodies against EpoR (Figure 1C). While each antibody may have off-target labeling, it is unlikely that all react with non-specific proteins with similar gel mobility as the EpoR. With every antibody, there are multiple bands within the expected range of mobility of EpoR from 50-70 kDa, possibly from existence of different glycosylated EpoR41 and possibly from some processed EpoR polypeptides. In addition, based on semi-quantitative immunoblots by M-20 and Fab 6 antibodies (Figure 1C), EpoR protein abundance in rat or mouse kidney is less than half that of fetal liver cells (FLC).

Since proponents of the erythrocentric-restricted expression of EpoR speculated that presence of extra-erythropoietic EpoR antigen represents non-functional receptors of unknown significance,30,32 we further tested our findings with EpoR signaling assays. We applied the criterion proposed by Elliot and coworkers30 which states that presence of antigen needed to be confirmed by assay of functional EpoR activity. We injected EPO (100 IU/ml) through the renal artery to normal rat kidney and measured downstream EpoR signaling. As shown in Figure 2A-C, activation of Jak2 and ERK were readily detected upon EPO stimulation. Therefore, the renal EpoR antigen that we detected represents fully functional receptors. Injection of lower concentrations of EPO (50 IU/ml and 10 IU/ml) also lead to similar changes but the magnitude is smaller (not shown).

We next examined the correlation of EpoR protein levels with renal Klotho protein levels using genetic manipulation of Klotho (Figure 3A). Transgenic mice overexpressing Klotho (Tg-Kl)38,42,43 have higher; and Klotho hypomorph's (Kl⁻/⁻) have lower levels of EpoR protein in the kidney compared to WT mice (Figure 3A). The change of EpoR mRNA was parallel to that of protein (Figure 3B), suggesting that chronic modulation of EpoR by Klotho is at least at the transcript level.

To rule out secondary effects from chronic genetic manipulations, we examined the short-term effect of exogenous soluble recombinant Klotho on expression of EpoR. Normal SD rats were injected intraperitoneally with recombinant mouse Klotho (rMKl) and the expression of EpoR protein and mRNA in the kidneys was examined one day after Klotho administration. The abundance of both EpoR protein and transcript was appreciably increased in the kidney of rats treated with Klotho compared with vehicle (Figure 3C), suggesting that EpoR in the kidney is indeed regulated by Klotho.
To further define whether Klotho regulates erythropoiesis, we measured hematocrit, plasma EPO and renal EPO transcripts and did not see any significant difference in WT and Tg-Kl mice (Table 1), indicating that Klotho overexpression does not induce polycythemia and the up-regulation of EpoR is not universal in all organs.

Klotho increases EpoR protein and mRNA expression in NRK cells

The in vivo experiments above lend strong support that Klotho regulates EpoR expression, but definitive proof of a direct effect mandates an in vitro system. We used NRK cells as our in vitro model because NRK cells are polarized renal epithelial cells with both proximal and distal tubule characteristics. We first tested whether the NRK cell line is a reliable cell culture model for studying regulation of Klotho on EpoR. Immunocytochemistry revealed EpoR expression in both apical and basolateral membranes in addition to intracellular location in NRK cells (Figure 4A). Immunoblotting with the M-20 antibody revealed a ~65 KD band in total cell lysate from NRK cells (Figure 4B left panel) which was confirmed by another antibody (Fab 6) (Figure 4B right panel). EpoR mRNA is also abundant in NRK cells (Figure 4C). To further examine if the EpoR in NRK is functional, we stimulated NRK cells with EPO (100 IU/ml) for 30 minutes to test whether EPO is able to activate Jak2 and Stat5, two known downstream effectors of EPO/EpoR signaling pathway that are implicated in EPO-induced cytoprotection from radiation and cytotoxic agent. Exogenous EPO induces Jak2 activation, assayed by antibodies specifically recognizing the phosphorylated form of JAK2 (P-Jak2/T-Jak2) compared to vehicle (P-Jak2/T-Jak2) (Figure 4D). In addition, the levels of phosphorylated-Stat5 was increased in nucleus following EPO stimulation (Figure 4E), indicating that functional EpoR exists in NRK cells.

Next, we tested if Klotho directly modulates EpoR in the cell line; a conclusion we cannot made from the in vivo experiment. Twenty-four hours after addition of recombinant mouse Klotho (rMKl), NRK cells showed significantly increase in both EpoR transcript (Figure 5A) and protein (Figure 5B). The increase detected by M-20 was confirmed by blotting with our anti-EpoR Fab. To define whether the up-regulation of EpoR by Klotho is functional, we examined the effect of rMKl on downstream EpoR effectors. Figure 5C shows that in the presence of fetal bovine serum (FBS), Klotho augmented Jak2 activation without an appreciable increase in total Jak2 in NRK cells; whereas this effect of rMKl on Jak2 activation was abolished without FBS which renders the medium devoid of EPO indicating that Klotho-induced Jak2 activation (Figure 5C) is dependent upon the presence of EPO. It is also important to indicate that there are a lot more factors in FBS other than EPO which may also contribute to the effect.

EpoR is involved in cytoprotection of EPO against H$_2$O$_2$ cytotoxicity

EPO has been shown to induce or enhance cells’ resistance to hypoxia, oxidative stress, cytokine-induced apoptosis, radiation, and cytotoxic agents. To examine if EPO protects NRK cells from H$_2$O$_2$ cytotoxicity, we treated NRK cells with different concentrations of EPO and measured LDH released into culture medium from NRK cells exposed to H$_2$O$_2$. As shown in Figure 6, H$_2$O$_2$ increased LDH release from NRK cells. EPO mitigated H$_2$O$_2$-induced LDH release from NRK cells in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 6), suggesting that EPO protects NRK cells against oxidative stress-induced cytotoxicity triggered by H$_2$O$_2$.

To examine whether the expression of EpoR in NRK is required for EPO to exert cytoprotection, we first silenced endogenous EpoR in NRK to study if EpoR-deficient NRK cells are more vulnerable to oxidative stress. We tested the efficiency of EpoR knock-down by two small interfering RNA (siRNA) at 222nd (EpoR$_{222}$) or at 1258th (EpoR$_{1258}$) nucleotide of EpoR. EpoR$_{222}$ siRNA was more potent than EpoR$_{1258}$ in knocking down...
EpoR mRNA (Figure 7A) and protein (Figure 7B), blocking EPO induced Jak2 activation (Figure 7B), and EPO-induced translocation of phospho-Stat5 into the nucleus (Figure 7C). Therefore, EpoR222 siRNA was used throughout this study. EpoR222 siRNA significantly augmented LDH released from NRK induced by H2O2 treatment (Figure 7D), indicating that EpoR deficiency renders NRK cells more susceptible to H2O2-induced cytotoxicity.

To acquire more direct evidence that EpoR contributes to cytoprotection in the kidneys, we increased EpoR expression in NRK by transfecting full length mouse EpoR into NRK cells (Figure 8A). Increase in EpoR elevated phosph-Jak2 (Figure 8B) and phosph-Stat5 (Figure 8C), indicating that the augmented EpoR is functional and could enhance EPO/EpoR signal transduction. Furthermore, overexpressing EpoR significantly reduced LDH release from NRK exposed to H2O2 (Figure 8D). Taken in concert, EpoR in NRK cells constitutes one of the cellular components to combat against oxidation-induced cytotoxicity.

Klotho protects NKR cells from H2O2 cytotoxicity via EpoR

We previously showed that Klotho protects the kidney from ischemia-reperfusion induced acute injury in rodents, but the mechanism of Klotho's cytoprotection was not delineated. To examine the role of Klotho in cytoprotection, we incubated NRK cells with H2O2 and measured LDH in culture medium when Klotho protein was added. Klotho protein blunted LDH release triggered by H2O2 (Figure 9A) and inhibited NGAL protein, an early marker of acute kidney damage (Figure 9B), and mRNA induction (Figure 9C) by H2O2. Moreover, Klotho also reduced H2O2-induced apoptosis (Figure 9D), which further supports that Klotho directly protects NKR cells from H2O2 cytotoxicity.

To test if EpoR is required for Klotho to exert its cytoprotective effect, we tested the Klotho effect in NRK cells with EpoR-knockdown. In the presence of 10% FBS, NRK cells with lower EpoR protein have lower phospho-Jak2 compared to NRK cells with normal levels of EpoR (Figure 10A). Klotho's ability to activate Jak2 (Figure 10A) and Stat5 (Figure 10B) in NRK cells was much attenuated in EpoR-knockdown cells, suggesting that the response to Klotho is reduced in NRK cells when EpoR was silenced.

Next, we tested if failure to induce phospho-Jak2 and phospho-Stat5 due to knock-down of endogenous EpoR is associated with escalated susceptibility to H2O2. Consistent with our previous results, LDH release from NRK cells with normal levels of endogenous EpoR was much less than that from EpoR-knockdown NRK cells in the presence of Klotho (Figure 7D and 10C). Importantly, the cytoprotection conferred by Klotho is partially blunted in NRK cells deficient of EpoR (Figure 10C), indicating that the cytoprotection of Klotho is partially dependent upon the presence of EpoR.

DISCUSSION

EPO, a member of the type 1 cytokine superfamily, was first identified as an endocrine erythropoietic factor produced primarily by the kidney and required for maintenance of erythrocyte production by functioning as a ligand through its receptor (EpoR). EpoR belongs to the cytokine receptor super-family. At the cell surface, engagement of EPO results in activation of Jak2 kinase activity, which phosphorylates and translocates Stat5 into the nucleus to trigger transcription of specific target genes. Thus, phospho-Erk, phospho-Jak2, and phospho-Stat5 and its nuclear translocation are reliable indices for activation of EPO/EpoR signal transduction.

Recent data suggest that EpoR is expressed in extra-hematopoietic tissues or cells including normal brain cells and tumor cells; retina; cardiomyocytes of ventricle and atrium; tumor cells such as endometrial adenocarcinoma, melanoma, breast cancer; cervical
cancer, prostatic cancer, papillary thyroid cancer, Merkel cell cancer, and renal cancer; capillary endothelial cells or cell lines; arteriovenous fistulae in patients on hemodialysis; normal lung tissue, lung endothelial cells and lung cancer; white adipose tissue; dental pulps; glomerular mesangial cells and renal tubules; and renal cysts. This suggests that EpoR likely mediates a wide variety of extra-erythropoietic actions.

An alternative view presented by one group of investigators favors an extremely restricted distribution and function of the EpoR to only erythropoietic tissues. These investigators submitted a three-point fallacy of the existence of EpoR transcripts that are likely not translated, non-specific labeling by commercial antibodies, and finally genuine expression of EpoR protein that are non-functional. However, this view remains to be proven. To unequivocally establish the presence of functional EpoR in kidney, we followed the criteria set forth by this school and demonstrated the presence of EpoR transcript by RT-PCR, protein labeling not by one but by multiple antisera, and activation of not one but multiple EpoR downstream signaling molecules upon EPO addition, and reduction of EpoR protein and signaling in cells by EpoR siRNA. This dataset allows us to conclude with confidence about the presence of functional EpoR in the kidney. However, it is important to note that problems with suboptimal antibody reagents remains. Is not known why different antibody detects EpoR bands of slightly different mobility. M-20 has been shown to identifies both EpoR and heat shock protein-70, thus M-20 definitely should not be used for immunohistochemistry. There is comparable pattern of labeling of EpoR in FLC, NRK cells, rat and mouse kidneys (Figure 1C) by M-20 and the anti-EpoR Fab. EpoR protein is barely detectable by A82 in rodent kidneys and weakly in FLC and NRK cells by due to its specificity for human EpoR. EpoR transcripts are prominently expressed in rat renal tubules but not in rat glomeruli, which is agreement of finding shown by De Beuf et al. Furthermore, our data is in agreement with published results from other independent laboratories showing that functional EpoR is present in non- hematopoietic cells because knockdown of EpoR abrogates EPO-induced cell proliferation in cancer cells, and constitutive activation of EpoR by mutation of EpoR protects renal tubules from ischemic injury. In addition, Cassis et al. recently showed EpoR expression in the kidney by immunohistochemistry. De Beuf and colleagues revealed that EpoR expression is responsive to oxidative stress, because H₂O₂ up-regulated EpoR expression and induced accumulation of EpoR in the plasma membrane. More recently Doleschel and colleagues used fluorescent EPO as a probe and examined EpoR expression in murine organs by fluorescence-mediated tomography. The in vivo results showed that exogenous EPO is accumulated in the kidney and in vitro results validated the specific binding of labeled EPO to EpoR. Now, our findings provided proof of functional EpoR in the kidney.

The cytoprotection conferred by EPO is associated with anti-apoptosis and anti-oxidation. In cultured immortalized pig renal tubular cells and mouse mesangial cells, EPO protects against oxidative, cytotoxic or hypoxic injury but there was no direct evidence that the protective effect is mediated by EpoR. Our study shows that presence of EpoR in NRK is required for EPO to signal; knock-down of endogenous EpoR renders NRK cells more susceptible, and over-expression of EpoR more resistant to H₂O₂ toxicity. The non-erythropoietic effects of EPO have been proposed to be mediated by the common beta receptor (βcR), which heterodimerizes with EpoR. At present, it is not known whether the EpoR-dependent cytoprotection in NRK cells involves βcR.

Other studies have shown biologic effects of EPO on primary kidney cell culture or kidney cell lines. EPO stimulates EpoR-operated Ca²⁺ channels in rat mesangial cells. EPO binds to murine proximal tubules (Kd ~96 pM), human proximal tubules (Kd~1.1 nM), and human medullary collecting duct cells (Kd~1.3 nM) and stimulates DNA synthesis and
cell proliferation in murine proximal tubular cells. Darbepoetin protects pig tubular and mouse mesangial cells against apoptosis stimulated by toxic and hypoxic insults and recombinant human EPO protects primary cultured human proximal tubule cells from hypoxic induced apoptosis and decreased cisplatin-induced apoptosis in human renal epithelial cell line. Epoein-δ protects primary human renal tubular epithelial cells from oxidative stress induced by glucose oxidase. Administration of continuous erythropoietin receptor activator protects kidney from sepsis-induced acute injury. These findings are very compelling in concert but they do not demonstrate dependence on EpoR in the kidney. We furnish data that supports the notion that these experiments conducted with EPO may be acting directly on renal epithelium.

In addition to its original anti-aging function, Klotho has vast pleiotropic actions. In the context of acute kidney injury, Klotho confers renoprotection and promotes renal regeneration based on a variety of animal and cell models. Klotho upregulates endogenous antioxidants, inhibits apoptosis, mitigates cell senescence, increases cell resistance to oxidative injury by up-regulating HSP70, increases endogenous NGAL, maintains stem cells, promotes angiogenesis and endothelial function, and suppresses fibrogenesis. The present study provides a novel mechanism of Klotho action which is up-regulation of EpoR.

In NRK cells, Klotho increases EpoR expression and activates well established canonical components along the EPO/EpoR signaling cascade. The presence of EPO or fresh serum in cell culture medium is a prerequisite for Klotho to enhance EPO/EpoR signaling activity. So far, the mechanism by which serum-containing media affect Klotho-regulated EpoR signal transduction is not clear. The effect of fresh serum may be mediated by EPO but the current reagents do not allow us to quantify bovine. It is entirely possible that the serum effect is not due to EPO alone. By calculation (not measurement), 10% serum should lower than 50 IU/ml EPO which is required for protection of NRK cells against oxidative stress (Figure 6). It is likely that other factors in serum sensitize the Klotho effect. Regardless of how many factors are involved in conferring cytoprotection, knock-down of endogenous EpoR in NRK cells partially abolished Klotho's cytoprotection, further supporting the hypothesis that Klotho exerts its cytoprotective function at least in part by up-regulating EpoR signal transduction. In addition, Klotho also plays cytoprotection above and beyond EpoR-independent signal pathway.

In conclusion, Klotho exerts beneficial effects in acute kidney damage induced by oxidative stress. Our in vivo and in vitro studies show that Klotho upregulates EpoR expression in the kidney and a kidney cell line, amplifies the EPO-triggered signaling pathways, and protects NRK cells from oxidative injury in an EpoR-dependent fashion. These results provide novel insights into the mechanisms of Klotho action, and support an extra-erythropoietic role of the EpoR in renal tubular epithelia.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Human study**

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Texas (UT) Southwestern Medical Center. The human kidney samples were dissected from normal kidney tissues adjacent to the tumor tissue whose kidneys were surgically removed due to kidney carcinoma in affiliated hospital with UT Southwestern. Recruited subjects gave informed consent.
Animal study

Transgenic mice over-expressing Klotho (Tg-Kl, EFmKL46 line) and Klotho hypomorphic mice (Kl-/-) were maintained at the Animal Research Center at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center. Wild type littermates were used as controls. Normal Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats (220-250 gm body weight) were intraperitoneally injected once with full extracellular domain of recombinant mouse Klotho protein (rMKl) at a dose of 0.01 mg/Kg BW. Mouse plasma EPO was determined using ELISA with mouse erythropoietin quantikine ELISA kit (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) according to manufacturer's instruction. All animal work was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center. Nephron segments and collecting ducts were dissected using established methods. Accuracy and specificity of dissection was verified by RT-PCR of segment-specific markers (Figure 1). Detailed protocols of animal study were shown in supplementary materials.

Isolated kidney infusion of EPO

SD rats were anesthetized with intraperitoneal injection of Ketamine:Xylazine:Acepromazine (50/5/1 mg/Kg). Kidneys were isolated and the renal arteries were cannulated with a blunt hypodermic needle. Once the needle was securely in the artery, the preparation was flushed with 10 ml of 1640 culture media (Invitrogen) until the kidney was blanched. A ligature was then tightened to secure the needle in the artery. The kidneys were transferred to a humidified petri dish at 37°C and perfused continuously with gassed (95% O₂, 5% CO₂) 1640 culture media containing EPO in (100 IU/ml) in a non-recirculating system under conditions of constant flow at a rate of 3.0 ml/min by means of a peristaltic pump (Instech Laboratories, Inc, Plymouth Meeting, PA) for 15 minutes. In total, around 40 ml of 100 IU/ml EPO were infused. Control solution did not contain EPO. After a 15-minute infusion, kidneys were harvested for further study.

Generation of synthetic anti-EpoR Fab

The synthetic human Fab was isolated from a phage-displayed library (Library F constructed by Sidhu Laboratory) with diversity introduced into the three heavy-chain complementarity-determining regions (CDRs) and the third light chain CDR. Biopanning, phage ELISAs and Fab protein purification were performed as described. Using competitive phage ELISAs, we estimated the affinity of Fab-6 for the EpoR-ECD to be in the single-digit nanomolar range (data not shown). Detailed protocol for generation of Fab antibody was shown in supplementary materials.

Cell culture

BaF3 cell line, a murine interleukin 3 (IL-3)-dependent hematopoietic pro-B-cell line, and normal rat kidney (NRK cells), a polarized renal tubular epithelial cell line with mixed proximal and distal characteristics, were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). BaF3-HA-EpoR cell line was generated by stably expressing HA-tagged murine full length EpoR via a retroviral vector. Mouse fetal liver cells are isolated and purified from WT embryos at E13.5. Ter119 negative cells (Ter119- cells) are derived from murine fetal liver cells at E13.5 and lost of Ter119 antigen. Both cells are erythroid progenitors enriched EpoR. Detailed protocols regarding cell culture study were shown in supplementary materials.

RNA interference

Small interfering RNA (siRNA) of EpoR duplexes were designed by using the web-based BLOCK-iT RNAi Designer software (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Knockdown was achieved by cotransfection of two siRNA’s per gene with Lipofectamine 2000. The efficiency of
EpoR mRNA and protein knockdown was evaluated by RT-qPCR and immunoblot respectively to optimize experimental conditions (dose of siRNA and time after transfection). The information about siRNA oligonucleotides are shown in supplementary materials. EpoR-siRNA and its control were eventually selected as tool to knock down endogenous EpoR in NRK cells.

RNA extraction, RT-PCR and real time PCR

Total RNA was extracted using RNAeasy kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD) from NRK cells or rodent kidney tissues as described. Complementary DNA (cDNA) was generated with oligo-dT primers using SuperScript III First Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to manufacturer's protocol. Primers used for detection of EpoR, NaPi-2a, NKCC2, AQP2, Klotho and β-actin were described in our previous publications and shown in supplementary materials. PCR products were analyzed by 2% agarose ethidium bromide gel electrophoresis. Primers used for qPCR to detect EpoR and cyclophilin were described in supplementary materials.

LDH and TUNEL assays and immunocytochemistry

NRK cells were seeded in 12 well-plates and rendered quiescent overnight after 100% confluence. Next day, cells were treated with different concentration of H₂O₂ with or without rMK1 Klotho. Supernatants were harvest for measurement of LDH release with LDH cytotoxicity detection kit (Clontech Laboratories Inc., Mountain View, CA). The apoptotic cells were detected with in situ cell death detection kit (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN). For immunocytochemistry, NRK cells were stained with primary antibodies (described in supplementary materials) followed by incubation with FITC-conjugated secondary antibodies (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Images were visualized with a Zeiss LSM-510 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, Advanced Imaging Microscopy, Germany).

Kidney immunohistochemistry

Four µm sections of paraffin embedded kidneys were made and subjected to immunohistochemistry. Primary antibodies used in this study were described in supplementary materials.

Immunoprecipitation and immunoblot

Immunoprecipitation of HA-EpoR protein from BaF-HA-EpoR cell lysates and immunoblotting was performed as previously described in supplementary materials. The detailed method of preparation of kidney lysate is described in supplementary materials.

Statistical analyses

Data are expressed as the means ± SD. Statistical analysis was performed using unpaired Student’s t-test, or analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test whenever appropriate. A P value of ≤0.05 was considered statistically significant. Unless otherwise stated, representative figures reflect the results in a minimum of three independent experiments.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Figure 1. Expression of EpoR protein and mRNA in rat kidney
(A) EpoR mRNA expression in the rat kidney or microdissected glomeruli and renal tubules and from normal adult rats at age of 3 months old by RT-PCT. Total RNA was extracted, and complimentary DNA (cDNA) generated with Oligo dT. Specific target genes were examined by PCR with rat specific primers (shown in method section). AQP2: aquaporin-2; CCD: cortical collecting duct; DCT: distal convoluted tubules; Glo: glomeruli; IMCD: inner medullary collecting duct; K: Rat kidney tissue containing cortex and medullar; NaPi-2a: Na-Pi dependent cotransporter-2a; NKCC2: Na-K-2Cl cotransporter; PT: proximal tubules; TAL: thick ascending limb; K-RT: kidney sample with reverse transcriptase omitted; (B) EpoR protein expression in BaF3 cell transfected with HA-tagged mouse EpoR or empty vector. Total lysates from native BaF3 and BaF3-HA-EpoR cells were subjected to immunoprecipitation by HA-Resin followed by immunobloting for EpoR with several anti-EpoR antibodies: A82, HA, M-20, Fab 6. Asterisks depict the specific HA-EpoR band. (C) Total proteins were extracted from human and rodent kidneys and murine FLC and Ter119-
cells, and subjected to immunobloting EpoR with several antibodies against EpoR. A82 is monoclonal rabbit antibody kindly provided by Dr. Steve Elliot; M-20 is polyclonal antibody purchased from Santa Cruz Biotech. Fab 6 is a synthetic human Fab against human EpoR isolated from a phage-displayed library. Asterisks indicate multiple forms of glycosylated EpoR or EpoR fragments. Hu: human; Mu: mouse; FLC: fetal liver cells at E13.5
Figure 2. Functional EpoR in rat kidney. EpoR activity was assessed by EPO-induced activation of downstream effectors in rat kidney assayed by determination of phosphorylation of Erk and Jak2.

Renal artery was surgically isolated and EPO in oxygenated 1640 culture media (40 ml of 100 IU/ml) was continuously perfused for 15 minutes with Intravenous infusion system (Instech Laboratories, Inc, Plymouth Meeting, PA). After 15 minutes, kidneys were harvested for further study. (A) Left panel shows representative blots for phospho-Erk (P-Erk) and total Erk (T-Erk), and phospho-Jak2 (P-Jak2) and total Jak2 (T-Jak2). Summarized data (means ± SD) are shown in the right panel. (B) Representative immunohistochemistry for P-Erk and T-Erk in the kidney. (C) Representative immunohistochemistry for P-Jak2 and T-Jak2 in the kidney.
Figure 3. Genetic levels of Klotho correlates with levels of EpoR protein and mRNA expression in the kidney

(A) sixty mg protein of total kidney Lysate was loaded onto each lane, subjected to SDS-PAGE, and immunoblotted for EpoR and Klotho. EpoR and Klotho protein expression in mouse kidney (detected with KM206 and M-20 antibody respectively). $Kl^{-/-}$= Klotho knock-out mice; WT=Wild type mice; Tg-Kl= transgenic Klotho overexpressing mice. Left panel: Typical blot. Right: Summarized data as means ± SD.

(B) EpoR transcript level in mouse kidney by quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) expressed relative to wild type mouse and summarized as means ± SD.

(C) Acute Klotho injection up-regulates EpoR protein and mRNA expression in rat kidney. Full length extracellular domain of recombinant mouse Klotho protein (rMKl) was injected intraperitoneally 0.01 mg/Kg body weight) once into rats and kidneys were harvested 24 hours later. EpoR protein was examined by immunoblot with M-20 and EpoR mRNA by qRT-PCR respectively. Typical immunoblot of EpoR protein (left panel), summarized data of EpoR protein (middle panel) and EpoR transcript by qRT-PCR (right panel). All bars and error bars are mean and SD. *p<0.05, **p<0.01 ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test.
Figure 4. Expression of EpoR in NRK cells

(A) Immunocytochemistry for EpoR in NRK cells. Confocal fluorescent images in xy, yz, xz planes. (B) Left and middle panel: Representative immunoblots for EpoR with M-20 (left panel) and with Fab 6 (middle panel) in total lysate of NRK cells. Right panel: RT–PCR of EpoR transcript in NRK cells. (C) NRK cells incubated with culture media containing 10% FBS and treated with EPO (100 IU/ml) or vehicle (Veh) for 10 minutes and total lysates were immunoblotted for phosphorylated Jak2 (P-Jak2) and total Jak2 (T-Jak2) (left panel). Summarized data as mean ± SD (right panel) (D) Representative immunocytochemistry for phospho-Stat5 (P-Stat5) and total Stat5 (T-Stat5) (red) in NRK cells treated with EPO or vehicle (Veh). β-actin (green) served as counterstain.
Figure 5. Klotho regulates EpoR protein, mRNA, and signaling in NRK cells
NRK cells were treated with Klotho in cell culture medium containing 10% FBS for 24 hours. (A) Typical immunoblot for EpoR (left panel) and data summarized as means ± SD (right panel). (B) EpoR mRNA by qPCR. Cyclophilin served as control. Means ± SD of 4 independent experiments. **p<0.01 unpaired Student t-test. (C) NRK cells were incubated with Klotho protein in medium containing 10% FBS for 24 and 48 hours. Total lysates were immunoblotted for phosphorylated (P-Jak2) and total Jak2 (T-Jak2) (left panel). Summarized data as means ± SD (right panel) of 4 independent experiments. *p<0.05, **p<0.01 ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test.
Figure 6. EPO protects NRK cells from H$_2$O$_2$-induced cytotoxicity
NRK cells were treated with different concentrations of EPO and H$_2$O$_2$ as shown in regular cell culture medium containing 10% FBS for 24 hours and supernatants were collected for LDH assay.
Figure 7. EpoR is required for EPO cytoprotection against H₂O₂

Knockdown of endogenous EpoR by siRNA was confirmed by qRT-PCR to quantify EpoR mRNA shown as means ± SD from 4 independent experiments (A). E²²² and E¹²⁵⁸ are EpoR siRNA's at indicated sequences locations, C²²² and C¹²⁵⁸ are as corresponding scrambled controls (C²²² and C¹²⁵⁸). EpoR²²² siRNA knocked down EpoR mRNA by 76.3 ± 5.0%, while EpoR¹²⁵⁸ siRNA by 56.9 ± 3.9%. (B) EpoR protein and Jak2 phosphorylation in NRK cells incubated with culture medium containing 10% FBS and treated with EpoR or control siRNA. Representative blot (left panel) and summarized data as percentage of C²²² (right panel). (C) Effect of EpoR knockdown on phosphorylation and nuclear translocation of Stat5 (red). (D) Effect of EpoR knockdown on susceptibility of NRK cells to H₂O₂. Means±SD from 4 independent experiments *p<0.05, **P<0.01 by ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test. E²²²=EopR²²², E¹²⁵⁸=EpoR¹²⁵⁸, C²²²=Control²²², C¹²⁵⁸=Control¹²⁵⁸.
Figure 8. Effect of overexpression of EpoR on H$_2$O$_2$ toxicity in NRK cells

(A) NRK cells were transfected with HA-tagged EpoR and growth in cell culture medium containing 10% FBS. Total cell lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE followed by immunobloting with anti-HA and anti-EpoR. Typical blot (left panel) and summarized data as means ± SD (right panel). *p<0.05 **p<0.01 by Student t test. (B) HA-EpoR overexpression and EPO-induced phosphorylation of Jak2. Typical blot (left panel) and summarized data as means ± SD (right panel). **p<0.01 by Student t test. (C) Effect of HA-EpoR overexpression on phosphorylation and translocation of Stat5. P-Stat5=phosph-Stat5. (D) Effect of EpoR overexpression on H$_2$O$_2$ cytotoxicity in NRK cell’s. Means ± SD from 4 independent experiments *p<0.01 by ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test.
Figure 9. Effect of soluble Klotho protein on H$_2$O$_2$-induced cytotoxicity in NRK cells

(A) NRK cells were treated with H$_2$O$_2$ in culture medium containing 10% FBS with or without Klotho (0.4 nM) for 1 day. The culture media were collected for LDH release assay.

(B) Cell lysates were immunoblotted for NGAL protein (left panel) and summarized data as mean ± SD from 4 independent experiments. (C) NGAL mRNA quantified by RT-qPCR' relative to no Klotho and no H$_2$O$_2$. (D) Apoptotic cells detected by TUNEL assay (left panel). The ratio of apoptotic cells (TUNEL+) over total cells (DAPI+) (right panel). Means ± SD from 4 independent experiments. Differences between groups were statistically analyzed by ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test. *p<0.05, **p<0.01.
Figure 10. Effect of knock-down of EpoR on the cytoprotection by Klotho

(A) NRK cells with or without EpoR knockdown was treated with Klotho in cell culture medium containing 10% FBS and EpoR signaling was assayed as phospho-Jak. Typical blot (left panel) and means ± SD (right panel) (B). NRK cells with and without EpoR knockdown were treated with Klotho and EpoR signaling was measured as phosphorylation and translocation of Stat5. (C) Effect of EpoR knock-down on H2O2-induced cytotoxicity in NRK cells. Means ± SD from 4 independent experiments. Differences between groups statistically analyzed by ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test. *p<0.05, **p<0.01.
Table 1

Hematocrit and EPO concentration in WT and Tg-KI mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>Tg-KI</th>
<th>T test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCT (%)</td>
<td>46.3±3.7 (9)</td>
<td>46.5±3.2 (12)</td>
<td>&gt;0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma EPO (pg/ml)</td>
<td>121.7±16.7 (6)</td>
<td>131.1±33.0 (5)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney EPO transcript (2^{ΔΔCt})</td>
<td>1.0±0.1 (4)</td>
<td>1.2±0.2 (5)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HCT: Hematocrit; EPO: erythropoietin; Relative EPO transcript was analyzed by normalizing cyclophilin followed by comparing with WT mice. Data are shown Means ± SD. Statistical differences were analyzed by unpaired Student-t test, and statistical significance accepted when p<0.05 between WT and Tg-KI groups.