1. K



Clinical Kidney Journal, 2020, vol. 13, no. 2, 235–244

doi: 10.1093/ckj/sfz085 Advance Access Publication Date: 16 July 2019 Original Article

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Performance of soluble Klotho assays in clinical samples of kidney disease

Javier A. Neyra^{1,2,3}, Orson W. Moe^{2,3,4}, Johanne Pastor², Fabiola Gianella², Sachdev S. Sidhu⁵, Mark J. Sarnak⁶, Joachim H. Ix^{7,8} and David A. Drew⁶

¹Division of Nephrology, Bone and Mineral Metabolism, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, USA, ²Charles and Jane Pak Center for Mineral Metabolism and Clinical Research, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA, ³Division of Nephrology, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA, ⁴Department of Physiology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA, ⁵Banting and Best Department of Medical Research and Department of Molecular Genetics, The Donnelly Centre, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada, ⁶Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, Tufts Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA, ⁷Division of Nephrology-Hypertension, University of California San Diego School of Medicine, San Diego, CA, USA and ⁸Veterans Affairs San Diego Healthcare System, La Jolla, CA, USA

Correspondence and offprint requests to: Javier A. Neyra; E-mail: javier.neyra@uky.edu; David A. Drew; E-mail: ddrew@tuftsmedicalcenter.org

ABSTRACT

Background. Soluble Klotho has multiple systemic salutary effects. In animals, both acute and chronic kidney disease models display systemic Klotho deficiency. As such, there is considerable interest in investigating soluble Klotho as a biomarker in patients with different types and severity of kidney diseases. Unfortunately, there remains uncertainty regarding the best method to measure soluble Klotho in human serum samples.

Methods. Using human serum samples obtained from several clinical cohorts with a wide range of kidney function, we measured soluble Klotho using a commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) as well as with an immunoprecipitation–immunoblot (IP–IB) assay utilizing a synthetic antibody with high affinity and specificity for Klotho. Recovery of spiking with a known amount of exogenous Klotho was tested. A subset of samples was analyzed with and without the addition of a protease inhibitor cocktail at the time of collection or after the first freeze–thaw cycle to determine if these maneuvers influenced performance.

Results. The IP–IB assay was superior to the ELISA at recovery of exogenous Klotho (81–115% versus 60–81%) across the spectrum of kidney function. Klotho measurements by IP–IB were highly correlated with estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) (R = 0.80, P < 0.001) in comparison with the commercial ELISA, which exhibited minimal correlation with eGFR (R = 0.18, P = 0.12). Use of a protease inhibitor cocktail neither improved nor impaired performance of the IP–IB assay; however, subsequent freeze–thaw cycle resulted in a significant reduction in Klotho recovery and dissipated the correlation between Klotho levels and eGFR. With the ELISA, the use of protease inhibitor cocktail resulted in an increase in intrasubject variability.

Received: 4.3.2019; Editorial decision: 2.6.2019

[©] The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of ERA-EDTA.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

Conclusions. The IP–IB assay is preferable to the commercial ELISA to measure soluble Klotho concentrations in neverthawed serum samples of humans with varying severity of kidney disease. However, due to the labor-intensive nature of the IP–IB assay, further research is needed to secure an assay suitable for high-throughput work.

Keywords: assays, chronic kidney disease, Klotho, measurements

INTRODUCTION

Alpha Klotho (referred to here as Klotho) is frequently described as an antiaging protein [1–3] and is highly conserved across multiple species including mice and humans [4]. Klotho is most highly expressed in the kidney as a transmembrane protein. In membrane-bound form, Klotho serves as a cofactor for fibroblast growth factor 23 (FGF-23) and FGF receptors [5]. Klotho is also cleaved and released from the kidney into circulation, both as a full-length protein or as Kl1 and Kl2 fragments [6, 7]. These circulating isoforms of Klotho are collectively called 'soluble' Klotho.

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) and acute kidney injury (AKI) in rodents are well documented to be conditions of Klotho deficiency in the kidney, blood and urine [8–13]. In soluble form, Klotho influences multiple metabolic pathways including insulin release and sensitivity, and the renin–angiotensin system [14–16], with higher expression associated with less pathologic evidence of aging such as kidney fibrosis and systemic markers of oxidative stress [13, 17–19]. Multiple rodent models of CKD demonstrated significantly lower soluble Klotho [10, 20, 21], suggesting that nephron loss may result in decreased production and release of soluble Klotho [22, 23]. If similar biology is observed in humans, one would anticipate a direct correlation between kidney function determined by estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) and soluble Klotho levels.

Human studies of soluble Klotho have generally shown that patients with lower eGFR also have lower soluble Klotho levels [8, 9], though some studies have reported no change in Klotho depending on the level of kidney function [24-30]. These discrepancies may, in part, be due to problems with current commercially available assays [31, 32] where the same reagents have been licensed to several commercial laboratories [33]. Furthermore, a recent study showed that the most widely used commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) may experience a drop in expected recovery (capture) in patients with advanced kidney failure as well as when samples have undergone multiple freeze-thaw cycles [8]. Taken together, these reports suggest that the current assays may not be optimal for large cohort studies in which samples are collected at different sites, may have been exposed to varying times at room temperature before cryopreservation, may have been subjected to multiple freeze-thaw cycles and may have been in storage for variable durations.

Due to the potential for low soluble Klotho to be a biomarker of kidney disease and its associated complications [34], better methods of detection are needed to generate reliable human databases. In this context, an alternative novel soluble Klotho assay has been described using a synthetic recombinant antibody with high affinity for Klotho and immunoprecipitationimmunoblot (IP–IB). This assay demonstrated progressive decline of serum Klotho with increasing stages of CKD in a small study using fresh samples [8]. However, the prior study used samples from a single center and its performance relative to the ELISA method in serum from patients with different etiology and severity of kidney disease has not been fully elucidated. We therefore compared a commonly used commercial ELISA with the IP–IB assay using stored serum samples from healthy volunteers, and participants with a broad spectrum of kidney function, AKI and end-stage renal disease (ESRD). Known quantities of exogenous recombinant Klotho were added to samples to determine recovery (capture) characteristics. We then examined the correlation of Klotho levels with eGFR, the impact of freeze–thaw cycles and whether the addition of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) affected assay performance. Due to the potential for soluble Klotho to be degraded by endogenous proteases [8], we also determined if the addition of a protease inhibitor cocktail improved assay performance. Overall, efforts were directed at establishing the best way to measure serum Klotho in historical human samples.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and participants

We conducted a two-phase, experimental study using human sera to compare the performance of two soluble Klotho assays, a commercially available ELISA (Immuno-Biological Laboratories, IBL) and the IP–IB method offered by the O'Brien Kidney Research Center at UT Southwestern [8]. We tested these two assays under different prespecified conditions.

Phase 1. Phase 1 of the study included stored serum samples from (i) 77 participants recruited from the University of California San Diego Medical Center (UCSD), who were also enrolled in the Systolic Blood Pressure Intervention Trial [35] (SPRINT); (ii) 20 patients with ESRD on maintenance hemodialysis from Tufts Medical Center who were enrolled into the Cognition and Dialysis Cohort [36]; and (iii) 15 patients with AKI Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Stage ≥ 2 from UT Southwestern Medical Center who were enrolled in the Klotho in Acute Kidney Injury (KLAKI) study [37].

Phase 2. Phase 2 of the study included prospectively collected serum samples from (i) five patients with CKD Stage 3 (ambulatory); (ii) five patients with ESRD (hospitalized); (iii) five patients with AKI Stage \geq 2 (hospitalized); and (iv) five healthy volunteers. All the samples in Phase 2 were obtained at UT Southwestern Medical Center.

Study procedures

All human serum samples (Phases 1 and 2) had not been previously thawed prior to this study. Serum samples were collected according to corresponding biospecimen handling protocols from SPRINT [38], Cognition and Dialysis Cohort [36] and KLAKI [37]. SPRINT is a multicenter randomized controlled trial comparing two systolic blood pressure targets (<140 mmHg versus <120 mmHg); participants from the UCSD site who agreed to additional biospecimen collection at baseline are included in this study. The Cognition and Dialysis Cohort comprised 314 maintenance hemodialysis patients from Boston-area dialysis clinics i:S

in whom baseline and longitudinal cognitive testing were performed. Stored baseline serum samples from both of these cohorts were utilized for this study (vintage period, the age of samples in reference to date of collection, was 2013–15 for SPRINT and 2015–16 for the Cognition and Dialysis Cohort). The KLAKI study is an ongoing prospective study that has included ~200 critically ill patients with and without AKI for the longitudinal examination of Klotho levels and postcritical illness outcomes. Stored serum samples collected at the time of AKI diagnosis were utilized for this study (vintage period, the age of samples in reference to date of collection, was 2015–16).

Biospecimens (Phase 2) were centrifuged at 1000g, 4°C for 10 min. Serum was aliquoted in codified cryovials and stored at -80°C until biomarker measurements were done by laboratory personnel blinded to the study design and data (vintage period from sample collection to utilization for Phase 2 of the study was <30 days). Informed consent was obtained for all study participants or their legally authorized representatives through regulatory procedures from the corresponding studies.

Serum Klotho levels were measured by (i) IP–IB technique using synthetic anti-Klotho sb-106 (Fab-48) antibody (high affinity for Kl2 domain of Klotho) for pull-down and KM2076 antibody, originally developed by Kuro-o [6], now also commercially available (TransGenic Inc., Kobe, Japan) was used for detection by immunoblot as reported [8] (Supplementary data, Figures S1 and S2) and (ii) the commercial IBL ELISA kit per manufacturer's instructions (Immuno-Biological Laboratories Co., Minneapolis, MN, USA).

The following data were collected for study participants when available: demographics, baseline kidney function (eGFR and proteinuria), kidney function at the time of sampling (eGFR and serum creatinine), cause of kidney disease and comorbidity (diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, congestive heart failure and cancer). AKI was characterized as per maximum KDIGO stage [39] and the need for acute renal replacement therapy (RRT).

Laboratory quality control procedures for the synthetic anti-Klotho sb-106 (Fab-48) antibody

An anti-Klotho sb-106 (synonymous with Fab-48) antibody batch-to-batch titration assay was performed. This consisted of titration testing of serial dilutions of antibody concentrations ranging from 5 to 50 nM to determine the optimal concentration of Klotho detected from $50 \,\mu$ L of normal human sera. The optimal and expected antibody concentration resulting in the strongest 130 kDa band signal is normally between 20 and 30 nM. The inter-assay coefficient of variation (CV) was estimated from the same patient samples assayed in distinct plates with independent calibrators for the standard curve. The laboratory technician was blinded to the study design and clinical data. A detailed description of the generation and validation of the anti-Klotho sb-106 antibody was previously published [8].

Assay experiments and prespecified conditions for the study

Phase 1. In Phase 1 (77 participants also enrolled in SPRINT; 20 ESRD patients; and 15 AKI Stage \geq 2 patients), we tested the following conditions (Table 1): (i) control (no additives); (ii) addition of protease inhibitor cocktail [4-(2-aminoethyl) benzenesulfonyl fluoride hydrochloride (AEBSF), aprotinin, bestatin, E-64, leupeptin and pepstatin]; (iii) addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus 20–50 pM of exogenous recombinant Klotho protein

Table 1. Phase 1 conditions applied by type of Klotho assay

IP–IB assay
Native Klotho concentration (control)
Addition of 50 pM of exogenous Klotho to thawed sample
Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail to thawed sample ^a
Additional freeze–thaw cycle (2 total)
ELISA
Native Klotho concentration (control)
Addition of 50 pM of exogenous Klotho to thawed sample Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail to thawed sample ^a

^aAEBSF, aprotinin, bestatin, E-64, leupeptin and pepstatin.

(synthesized in our laboratory, as described) [8] at time of first sample thawing; and (iv) additional freeze-thaw (no additives but with one additional freeze-thaw cycle). Only the IP-IB assay in the SPRINT group was tested for prespecified condition (iv) due to a limited amount of residual serum.

Phase 2. In Phase 2 (five CKD Stage 3 patients; five ESRD patients; five AKI Stage \geq 2 patients and five healthy volunteers), we tested the following conditions (Table 2): (i) control [addition of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) only]; (ii) addition of the same protease inhibitor cocktail described above; (iii) addition of the protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA; and (iv) both prior conditions (ii and iii) with the addition of 20 pM of recombinant exogenous Klotho protein (only IP-IB was tested for this prespecified condition); applied at two different time points: (a) immediately after specimen collection (fresh sample), while processing the sample before storage and (b) after thawing the stored sample for the first time for Klotho measurement. The study was designed as such because if protease inhibitors improve assay performance, it is critical to assess if their addition is required to be immediate, or could be applied to stored samples, as the latter are the only sources available from biorepositories from large-scale studies.

Statistical analysis

Categorical data were reported as percentages and continuous data as means \pm SD or median (25th–75th percentile) according to data distribution. Inter-assay coefficients of variation were calculated for the IP-IB assay in both phases. Percent recovery of exogenous Klotho was calculated for both assay methods in both Phase 1 and Phase 2 by dividing the measured Klotho concentration by the expected Klotho concentration (the native sample Klotho concentration plus the known quantity of added exogenous Klotho) and multiplying it by 100. Bland-Altman plots were constructed to graphically represent the agreement among these two concentrations (expected versus measured). In Phase 1, a paired Student's t-test was used to compare Klotho concentrations with and without addition of protease inhibitor cocktail for both assay methods. Spearman correlation analysis was utilized to determine the correlation of each assay method to the other as well as each method with eGFR (in samples that had eGFR available). In Phase 2, measured Klotho concentrations obtained under each prespecified condition permutation within each assay method were compared through the use of analysis of variance (ANOVA). In addition, measured Klotho concentrations across levels of kidney function were compared using ANOVA. R version 3.5.1 was used for database construction, statistical analyses and generation of figures.

i:S

Table 2. Phase 2 conditions by type of Klotho assay at two different time points: applied to fresh samples before storage and applied to stored samples after the first thaw

IP-IB assay

Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA ^b Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho Applied to stored samples after the first thaw Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA ^b Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA ^b Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA ^b Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho ELISA Applied to fresh samples before storage Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a
Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA ^b Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho Applied to stored samples after the first thaw Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA ^b Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA ^b Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho ELISA Applied to fresh samples before storage Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a
Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA ^b Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho Applied to stored samples after the first thaw Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA ^b Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho ELISA Applied to fresh samples before storage Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a
Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho Applied to stored samples after the first thaw Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA ^b Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho ELISA Applied to fresh samples before storage Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a
Klotho Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho Applied to stored samples after the first thaw Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA ^b Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho ELISA Applied to fresh samples before storage Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a
Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho Applied to stored samples after the first thaw Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA ^b Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho ELISA Applied to fresh samples before storage Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a
exogenous Klotho Applied to stored samples after the first thaw Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA ^b Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho ELISA Applied to fresh samples before storage Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a
Applied to stored samples after the first thaw Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA ^b Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho ELISA Applied to fresh samples before storage Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a
Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA ^b Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho ELISA Applied to fresh samples before storage Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a
Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA ^b Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho ELISA Applied to fresh samples before storage Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a
Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA ^b Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho ELISA Applied to fresh samples before storage Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a
Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho ELISA Applied to fresh samples before storage Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a
Klotho Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho ELISA Applied to fresh samples before storage Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a
Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA plus 20 pM of exogenous Klotho ELISA Applied to fresh samples before storage Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a
exogenous Klotho ELISA Applied to fresh samples before storage Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a
ELISA Applied to fresh samples before storage Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plue EDTA ^b
Applied to fresh samples before storage Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plue EDTA ^b
Addition of PBS (control) Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plue EDTA ^b
Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a
Addition of protococ inhibitor cocktail plus FDTA ^b
Addition of protease minipitor cocktain plus EDTA
Applied to stored samples after the first thaw
Addition of PBS (control)
Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail ^a
Addition of protease inhibitor cocktail plus EDTA ^b

^aAEBSF, aprotinin, bestatin, E-64, leupeptin and pepstatin. ^bEDTA.

RESULTS

Clinical characteristics

Demographics and clinical characteristics of the participants in the two phases of the experiment are shown in Table 3 (Phase 1) and Table 4 (Phase 2). When converted to the same units (pM or pg/mL), Klotho concentrations were overall higher for all groups with the IP–IB assay compared with the ELISA. For the IP–IB, the highest mean Klotho concentrations were seen within the SPRINT group, followed by the AKI group, with the lowest concentrations in the ESRD group. This was in contrast to the ELISA, which yielded the highest concentrations in the AKI group, followed by the SPRINT group and then the ESRD group (Table 3).

Assay performance in Phase 1

The IP–IB Klotho assay displayed an inter-assay CV of 4.8% (n = 112). The commercial ELISA inter-assay CV is reported by the manufacturer to be between 2.9% and 11.4% [33]. Recovery of exogenous Klotho (20 pM for SPRINT samples and 50 pM for ESRD and AKI samples) was greater for the IP–IB assay compared with the commercial ELISA across all kidney disease groups. However, we observed lower recovery with both assays in the ESRD and AKI groups relative to participants in the SPRINT trial, which could represent some degree of susceptibility of exogenous Klotho to degradation in uremic sera or impaired retrieval by the capturing antibodies (Figure 1). The agreement between the expected Klotho concentrations (the native sample Klotho concentration plus the known quantity of

added exogenous Klotho) and the actual measured Klotho concentration is represented by Bland–Altman plots in Figure 2.

Within the SPRINT group, there was no difference between Klotho concentrations obtained by the IP–IB assay with and without addition of the protease inhibitor cocktail to samples after the first thaw (mean Klotho concentration 13.7 ± 4.0 pM versus 14.1 ± 3.7 pM, respectively, P=0.18), whereas the commercial ELISA returned significantly higher concentrations when a protease inhibitor cocktail was added (mean Klotho concentration 5.1 ± 4.1 pM versus 3.5 ± 2.3 pM, P < 0.001).

When examining Klotho concentrations across all kidney disease groups, the IP-IB and commercial ELISA Klotho concentrations only weakly correlated with each other (r = 0.28, P = 0.01) (Figure 3A). IP-IB was strongly correlated with eGFR in the SPRINT group (r = 0.8, P < 0.001), while the commercial ELISA did not correlate with eGFR in the same SPRINT group (r = 0.18, P = 0.12) (Figure 3B and C). The difference between the two assays in percent recovery and correlation with eGFR persisted when examining subgroups with eGFR $<60 \text{ mL/min}/1.73 \text{ m}^2$ or \geq 60 mL/min/1.73 m² (data not shown). For the commercial ELISA, despite the higher Klotho concentrations seen with addition of the protease inhibitor cocktail, there was no corresponding change in correlation with the IP–IB (r = 0.25) or with eGFR (r = 0.19). An additional freeze-thaw cycle (due to sample volume constraints, only done for the IP-IB assay) resulted in significantly less detection of initial endogenous Klotho [Δ two versus one freeze-thaw cycle: -45.9%, 95% confidence interval (CI) (-40.0% to -51.9%)] and significantly dissipated the correlation between Klotho levels and eGFR (Supplementary data, Figure S3).

Quality control procedures

During the initial handling of the Phase 1 samples, it was noted that the IP-IB assay returned inadequate recovery of exogenous Klotho, including from known standards. The assay also showed poor differentiation across strata of kidney disease, leading to the production of a new batch of synthetic anti-Klotho sb-106 (Fab-48) antibody that was used in all above reported experiments. This highlights the importance of quality control of the reagents.

Assay performance in Phase 2

The IP–IB had an inter-assay CV of 16.6% (n = 20). Recovery of exogenous Klotho (20 pM) was consistent (90.8–116.2%) across all preparation methods (Figure 2). However, we observed lower recovery of exogenous Klotho in the CKD, AKI and ESRD groups relative to healthy volunteers, which was only slightly enhanced by the use of the protease inhibitor cocktail (Supplementary data, Figure S4). We also saw no significant difference in absolute Klotho concentrations across sample preparation using different prespecified conditions, including spiking with EDTA, the addition of protease inhibitor cocktail at the time of collection (fresh sample) or after the first thaw (P = 0.9) (Figure 4A). Finally, Klotho levels were consistently higher in the healthy volunteer group, followed by the Stage 3 CKD group and the AKI group, and lowest in the ESRD group (P < 0.001) (Figure 4A).

In contrast, the commercial ELISA had an inter-assay CV of 44.9% (n=18). Use of various sample preparation methods resulted in significantly different Klotho concentrations (P=0.006 across different prespecified conditions) (Figure 4B). Finally, the commercial ELISA yielded Klotho levels that were significantly higher in the healthy volunteer group (P < 0.001),

Table 3. Characteristics of patients (Phase 1)

	SPRINT	ESRD ^a	AKI ^b
Number of patients	104 ^c	20	15
Klotho levels, mean ± SD			
IP–IB (pM)	13.6 ± 3.7	5.8 ±1.4	11.6 ± 6.0
ELISA (pM)	3.5 ± 2.2	2.6 ± 2.1	5.7 ± 4.4
IP–IB (pg/mL)	1771 ± 483	750 ± 182	1505 ± 776
ELISA (pg/mL)	384 ± 245	283 ± 224	618 ± 480
Demographics			
Age, years, mean \pm SD	74 ± 8	63 ± 18	61 ± 16
Women, n (%)	27 (26)	9 (45)	5 (33)
Race			
White race, n (%)	88 (84.5)	14 (70)	10 (66)
Black race, n (%)	10 (9.5)	5 (25)	1 (7)
Hispanic race, n (%)	4 (4)	-	3 (20)
Other race, n (%)	2 (2)	1 (5)	1 (7)
BMI, kg/m ² , years, mean \pm SD	29 ± 6	-	35 ± 13
Baseline kidney function			
eGFR ^d , mL/min/1.73 m ² , mean \pm SD	66 ± 18	-	86 ± 13
Dipstick proteinuria >30 mg/dL, n (%)	-	-	3 (20)
Urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio, median (25th–75th)	15 (7, 41)	-	-
Kidney function at the time of sampling			
eGFR ^d , mL/min/1.73 m ² , mean \pm SD	66 ± 18	-	-
SCr at the time of sampling, median (25th, 75th)	-	-	1.5 (1.4, 2.2)
Comorbidity			
Diabetes, n (%)	0 (0)	7 (35)	4 (27)
Hypertension, n (%)	104 (100)	18 (90)	9 (60)
Cardiovascular disease, n (%)	26 (25)	5 (25)	2 (13)
Congestive heart failure, n (%)	-	3 (15)	5 (33)
Cancer, n (%)	-	3 (4)	5 (33)

^aESRD etiology: six patients had diabetic nephropathy; eight patients had hypertensive nephrosclerosis; and six patients had other causes of ESRD.

^bAKI characteristics: seven patients had KDIGO Stage 2 AKI; five patients had Stage 3 AKI; and three patients had Stage 3 AKI that required RRT.

^cSummary of characteristics based on n = 104 patients, of whom only n = 77 had available samples for the study. ^deGFR estimated using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation.

edi k estimated using the chronic kluney Disease Epidemiology con

BMI, body mass index; SCr, serum creatinine.

but did not demonstrate differences in Klotho concentrations across the remaining three kidney disease groups (Figure 4B).

DISCUSSION

We evaluated two methods of measuring soluble Klotho concentrations in human serum: IP-IB and a frequently utilized commercial ELISA. When assays were compared in serum samples of patients with different types and severity of kidney disease, the overall performance of the assay favored IP-IB. In particular, the IP-IB assay exhibited a stronger direct correlation with eGFR in patients with a wide range of kidney function, better recovery (capture) of exogenous Klotho, less susceptibility to variability from sample additives and larger differentiation across different kidney disease groups (AKI, CKD and ESRD) in reference to healthy volunteers. Importantly, we did not find improvement in the performance of the IP-IB assay when a protease inhibitor cocktail was added, suggesting that this may not be a necessary step for assaying soluble Klotho in larger studies. Nonetheless, the IP-IB assay performance was susceptible to additional freeze-thaw cycle, suggesting that use of never previously thawed specimens is important for future studies examining soluble Klotho measurements [8].

These findings are important and timely because the perceived lack of a reproducible and reliable assay for measurement of soluble Klotho levels in human blood samples has been a major limitation in performing large-scale studies of patients

with kidney disease or other conditions. While decreased levels of both renal tissue Klotho and soluble Klotho have been associated with adverse outcomes in some clinical and preclinical studies, the results have been inconsistent [24-30] and these inconsistencies may be partially explained by limitations in current Klotho ELISAs [32]. Heijboer et al. showed poor inter-assay as well as intra-assay agreement between three different commercial Klotho ELISAs, suggesting that problems may exist beyond just the one ELISA that we tested in our study [32]. Moreover, a prior study reported the IBL ELISA yielded different results in fresh versus stored serum samples, and Klotho levels measured by ELISA were less stable after repeated freeze-thaw cycles when compared with the IP-IB assay [8]. However, using a larger sample size than the prior study, we found that the IP-IB assay can also be susceptible to lower Klotho yields with a second freeze-thaw cycle, suggesting that Klotho itself may be unstable with additional freeze-thaw processing. Due to lack of sample availability, we did not examine the performance of the ELISA after repeated freeze-thaw cycles in the present study, thus our study demonstrates changed test characteristics after freeze-thaw with the IP-IB method but whether or not the magnitude of these effects is similar with the ELISA remains uncertain.

We confirmed a direct relationship between soluble Klotho and eGFR, with a stronger correlation with the IP–IB assay when compared with the ELISA. This result is consistent with animal data showing decreased Klotho gene expression and Klotho

Table 4. Characteristics of patients (Phase 2)

	CKD Stage 3	ESRD	AKI ^a	HV
Number of patients	5	5	5	5
Klotho levels, ^b mean \pm SD				
IP-IB (pM)	11.0 ± 5.3	5.7 ± 1.3	9.0 ± 3.8	22.4 ± 1.1
ELISA (pM)	0.8 ± 0.6	1.6 ± 1.4	1.1 ± 0.5	2.2 ± 1.7
IP–IB (pg/mL)	1195 ± 573	623 ± 144	985 ± 409	2437 ± 123
ELISA (pg/mL)	91 ± 68	173 ± 153	122 ± 57	243 ± 182
Demographics				
Age, years \pm SD	57 ± 7	53 ± 21	54 ± 30	42 ± 14
Women, n (%)	4 (80)	2 (40)	4 (80)	3 (60)
Race				
White race, n (%)	1 (20)	1 (20)	3 (60)	4 (80)
Black race, n (%)	1 (20)	2 (40)	0 (0)	1 (20)
Hispanic race, n (%)	3 (60)	2 (40)	1 (20)	0 (0)
Other race, n (%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (20)	0 (0)
BMI, kg/m ² , years, mean \pm SD	35 ± 7	28 ± 5	26 ± 3	24 ± 4
Baseline kidney function (prehospitalization)	1			
eGFR, ^c mL/min/1.73 m ² , mean ± SD	50 ± 7	10 ± 6	86 ± 22	-
Dipstick proteinuria >30 mg/dL, n (%)	1 (20)	5 (100)	1 (20)	-
Kidney function at the time of sampling				
eGFR, ^c mL/min/1.73 m ² , mean ± SD	51 ± 8	11 ± 6	_	-
SCr, mg/dL, median (25th, 75th)	1.40 (1.15, 1.46)	6.01 (4.03, 7.13)	2.82 (1.88, 3.06)	-
Comorbidity				
Diabetes, n (%)	2 (40)	4 (80)	1 (20)	-
Hypertension, n (%)	5 (100)	5 (100)	3 (60)	-
Cardiovascular disease, n (%)	0 (0)	1 (20)	1 (20)	-
Congestive heart failure, n (%)	0 (0)	2 (40)	1 (20)	-
Cancer, n (%)	1 (20)	0 (0)	1 (20)	-

125%

100%

75%

50%

25%

N = 77

SPRINT

Percentage yield

^aAKI characteristics: two patients had KDIGO Stage 2 AKI; two patients had Stage 3 AKI; and one patient had Stage 3 AKI that required RRT.

^bKlotho levels reported correspond to control samples (no additives).

^ceGFR estimated using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation.

BMI, body mass index; HV, healthy volunteer; SCr, serum creatinine.

production in models of AKI [11, 26] and CKD [8–10]. We believe the strong correlation with eGFR seen with the IP–IB assay, when coupled with the superior exogenous Klotho recovery (capture) characteristics, is indicative of overall superior assay performance relative to the ELISA evaluated here. We also saw little difference in results when using EDTA or a protease inhibitor cocktail with the IP–IB assay, implying that use of these additives does not appear to significantly improve assay performance. These results also suggest that the IP–IB assay may be useful to measure Klotho in stored EDTA plasma rather than serum; however, this question was not directly assessed in our analysis and requires future study.

Although the IP-IB assay has several advantages relative to the commercial ELISA, it also has several shortcomings. IP-IB is labor-intensive and thus requires more time and effort compared with a standard ELISA. The IP-IB assay also requires meticulous quality control procedures due to the large number of steps involved, which includes the production of a synthetic antibody, and thus could be operator-dependent. In comparison, the Klotho measurements performed with the commercial ELISA utilized viable kits and all detailed instructions from the manufacturer were followed by an experienced laboratory technician. Overall, the use of a custom synthetic antibody along with a labor-intensive protocol limits the ability to widely export the IP-IB method to other laboratories. However, we believe the superior performance when coupled with careful and consistent quality control procedures outweighs these shortcomings. Finally, we note that there is a lack of negative controls and published data on the characterization of the specific



FIGURE 1: Mean percentage recovery after addition of exogenous Klotho (20–50 pM) plus protease inhibitor cocktail in different strata of kidney function by IP–IB and ELISA. Error bars represent SD. SPRINT participants with mean (SD) eGFR of 66 (18) mL/min/1.73 m².

N = 20

ESRD

Kidney Function Group

N = 15

AKI

antibodies used by commercial Klotho ELISAs, in contrast to the IP–IB assay, for which the protocol, including the specific antibodies utilized, has been previously reported [8].

There are many unanswered questions about Klotho biology and its role in kidney disease. There is a great interest to further define the subtypes of soluble Klotho protein as current assays



A Bland-Altman Plot of Expected versus Measured Klotho Concentration using IP-IB







FIGURE 2: Bland–Altman plot representing the agreement between expected and measured Klotho concentration after the addition of known quantities of exogenous Klotho using (A) IP–IB assay [Phase 1 (triangles) and Phase 2 (circles)] and (B) ELISA (Phase 1 only). Dotted lines represent 95% CI. The IP–IB assay exhibited better agreement of expected versus measured Klotho in a wide range of concentrations when compared with the ELISA. Klotho concentrations are expressed in pM.

may not differentiate between full-length soluble Klotho formed from cleavage of the transmembrane form [40–42], further cleaved Kl1 and Kl2 Klotho fragments, and/or Klotho complexes with other proteins. This constitutes an area of ongoing research that can further advance our understanding of the role of Klotho in human kidney disease.

In conclusion, we report a series of experiments in human sera among a group of healthy volunteers and participants with different etiology and severity of kidney disease. Our experiments revealed the better performance of the IP–IB assay compared with the widely used and available commercial ELISA. Until a superior ELISA is developed, we consider the IP–IB assay, utilizing the antibodies described in the above experiments, the preferred method for serum Klotho measurements in preclinical and clinical studies.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data are available at ckj online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Research reported in this publication was supported by the O'Brien Kidney Research Center at the University of Texas

i:S

242 | J.A. Neyra et al.



FIGURE 3: (A) Correlation between IP–IB and ELISA (R = 0.28, P = 0.01); (B) correlation between IP–IB and eGFR (R = 0.8, P < 0.001); (C) correlation between ELISA and eGFR (R = 0.18, P = 0.12). All panels represent SPRINT participants (n = 77). Klotho concentrations are expressed in pM.

Southwestern Medical Center (P30 DK079328-06) and a Pilot Grant by the Chronic Kidney Disease Biomarkers Consortium Data Coordinating Center (DAD, NIDDK 5-U01-DK-103225-05). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health, the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, University of San Diego School of Medicine, or Tufts Medical Center. JAN was supported by the Ben J. Lipps Research Fellowship Program of American Society of Nephrology Foundation for Kidney Research and the Truelson Fellowship Fund at UT Southwestern Charles and Jane Pak Center of Mineral Metabolism and Clinical Research. JAN is currently supported by an Early Career Pilot Grant from the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, National Institutes of Health, through Grant UL1TR001998. DAD is supported by the CKD BioCon grant cited above as well as by a K23 award from the NIDDK (K23-DK-105327). JHI is supported by an American Heart Association Established Investigator Award (14EIA18560026). OWM is supported by the NIH (R01 DK092461 and R01DK091392).

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

J.A.N., O.W.M., M.J.S., J.H.I. and D.A.D. designed the study; J.A.N., O.W.M., J.P. and F.G. carried out the experiments; J.A.N. and D.A.D. analyzed the data; J.A.N. and D.A.D. made the figures; J.A.N., O.W.M., S.S.S., M.J.S., J.H.I. and D.A.D. drafted and revised the paper; all authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

<u>S</u>

i:S



Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/ckj/article-abstract/13/2/235/5533109 by guest on 11 June 2020

FIGURE 4: Mean Klotho concentrations by (A) IP–IB and (B) ELISA under different prespecified conditions: (i) no additives—PBS (control); (ii) addition of protease inhibitor (PI) cocktail to fresh sample; (iii) addition of PI cocktail to thawed sample; (iv) addition of EDTA + PI cocktail to fresh sample; (v) addition of EDTA + PI cocktail to thawed sample. Error bars represent SD. Klotho concentrations are expressed in picomolar. CKD3 = CKD Stage 3; HV = healthy volunteers.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

None declared.

REFERENCES

- 1. Kurosu H, Yamamoto M, Clark JD et al. Suppression of aging in mice by the hormone Klotho. *Science* 2005; 309: 1829–1833
- Kuro-o M, Matsumura Y, Aizawa H et al. Mutation of the mouse klotho gene leads to a syndrome resembling ageing. Nature 1997; 390: 45–51
- Arking DE, Krebsova A, Macek M et al. Association of human aging with a functional variant of klotho. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2002; 99: 856–861

- 4. Nabeshima Y. Klotho: a fundamental regulator of aging. Ageing Res Rev 2002; 1: 627–638
- Kurosu H, Ogawa Y, Miyoshi M et al. Regulation of fibroblast growth factor-23 signaling by klotho. J Biol Chem 2006; 281: 6120–6123
- Kato Y, Arakawa E, Kinoshita S et al. Establishment of the anti-Klotho monoclonal antibodies and detection of Klotho protein in kidneys. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2000; 267: 597–602
- Imura A, Iwano A, Tohyama O et al. Secreted Klotho protein in sera and CSF: implication for post-translational cleavage in release of Klotho protein from cell membrane. FEBS Lett 2004; 565: 143–147

- Barker SL, Pastor J, Carranza D et al. The demonstration of alphaKlotho deficiency in human chronic kidney disease with a novel synthetic antibody. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2015; 30: 223–233
- 9. Shimamura Y, Hamada K, Inoue K *et al*. Serum levels of soluble secreted α -Klotho are decreased in the early stages of chronic kidney disease, making it a probable novel biomarker for early diagnosis. *Clin Exp Nephrol* 2012; 16: 722–729
- Hu MC, Kuro-o M, Moe OW. Klotho and chronic kidney disease. Contrib Nephrol 2013; 180: 47–63
- Hu M-C, Shi M, Zhang J et al. Klotho deficiency is an early biomarker of renal ischemia-reperfusion injury and its replacement is protective. *Kidney Int* 2010; 78: 1240–1251
- Panesso MC, Shi M, Cho HJ et al. Klotho has dual protective effects on cisplatin-induced acute kidney injury. Kidney Int 2014; 85: 855–870
- Sugiura H, Yoshida T, Shiohira S et al. Reduced Klotho expression level in kidney aggravates renal interstitial fibrosis. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol 2012; 302: F1252–F1264
- Utsugi T, Ohno T, Ohyama Y et al. Decreased insulin production and increased insulin sensitivity in the klotho mutant mouse, a novel animal model for human aging. *Metabolism* 2000; 49: 1118–1123
- 15. Zhou L, Li Y, Zhou D et al. Loss of Klotho contributes to kidney injury by derepression of Wnt/ β -catenin signaling. J Am Soc Nephrol 2013; 24: 771–785
- de Borst MH, Vervloet MG, ter Wee PM et al. Cross talk between the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system and vitamin D-FGF-23-klotho in chronic kidney disease. J Am Soc Nephrol 2011; 22: 1603–1609
- Doi S, Zou Y, Togao O et al. Klotho inhibits transforming growth factor-β1 (TGF-β1) signaling and suppresses renal fibrosis and cancer metastasis in mice. J Biol Chem 2011; 286: 8655–8665
- Zhao Y, Banerjee S, Dey N et al. Klotho depletion contributes to increased inflammation in kidney of the db/db mouse model of diabetes via RelA (serine) 536 phosphorylation. Diabetes 2011; 60: 1907–1916
- Sugiura H, Yoshida T, Tsuchiya K et al. Klotho reduces apoptosis in experimental ischaemic acute renal failure. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2005; 20: 2636–2645
- Lindberg K, Amin R, Moe OW et al. The kidney is the principal organ mediating klotho effects. J Am Soc Nephrol 2014; 25: 2169–2175
- 21. Asai O, Nakatani K, Tanaka T et al. Decreased renal α -Klotho expression in early diabetic nephropathy in humans and mice and its possible role in urinary calcium excretion. Kidney Int 2012; 81: 539–547
- 22. Hu MC, Shi M, Zhang J et al. Renal production, uptake, and handling of circulating α Klotho. J Am Soc Nephrol 2015; 27: 79–90
- 23. Neyra J, Hu M. Chapter eleven- α Klotho and chronic kidney disease. Vitam Horm 2016; 101: 257–310
- Seiler S, Wen M, Roth HJ et al. Plasma Klotho is not related to kidney function and does not predict adverse outcome in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int* 2013; 83: 121–128
- Kim HR, Nam BY, Kim DW et al. Circulating α-klotho levels in CKD and relationship to progression. Am J Kidney Dis 2013; 61:899–909

- 26. Shi M, Flores B, Gillings N et al. α Klotho mitigates progression of AKI to CKD through activation of autophagy. J Am Soc Nephrol 2016; 27: 2331–2345
- Devaraj S, Syed B, Chien A et al. Validation of an immunoassay for soluble Klotho protein: decreased levels in diabetes and increased levels in chronic kidney disease. Am J Clin Pathol 2012; 137: 479–485
- Akimoto T, Yoshizawa H, Watanabe Y et al. Characteristics of urinary and serum soluble Klotho protein in patients with different degrees of chronic kidney disease. BMC Nephrol 2012; 13: 155
- 29. Pavik I, Jaeger P, Ebner L *et al*. Secreted Klotho and FGF23 in chronic kidney disease Stage 1 to 5: a sequence suggested from a cross-sectional study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2012; 28: 352–359
- 30. Kitagawa M, Sugiyama H, Morinaga H et al. A decreased level of serum soluble Klotho is an independent biomarker associated with arterial stiffness in patients with chronic kidney disease. PLoS One 2013; 8: e56695
- Pedersen L, Pedersen SM, Brasen CL et al. Soluble serum Klotho levels in healthy subjects. Comparison of two different immunoassays. Clin Biochem 2013; 46: 1079–1083
- Heijboer AC, Blankenstein MA, Hoenderop J et al. Laboratory aspects of circulating α-Klotho. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2013; 28: 2283–2287
- 33. Yamazaki Y, Imura A, Urakawa I et al. Establishment of sandwich ELISA for soluble alpha-Klotho measurement: age-dependent change of soluble alpha-Klotho levels in healthy subjects. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2010; 398: 513–518
- 34. Drew DA, Katz R, Kritchevsky S et al. Association between soluble Klotho and change in kidney function: the health aging and body composition study. J Am Soc Nephrol 2017; 28: 1859–1866
- 35. Ambrosius WT, Sink KM, Foy CG et al. The design and rationale of a multicenter clinical trial comparing two strategies for control of systolic blood pressure: The Systolic Blood Pressure Intervention Trial (SPRINT). Clin Trials 2014; 11: 532–546
- Sarnak MJ, Tighiouart H, Scott TM et al. Frequency of and risk factors for poor cognitive performance in hemodialysis patients. Neurology 2013; 80: 471–480
- 37. Neyra JA, Li X, Mescia F et al. Urine Klotho is a ootential marker of AKI recovery in the intensive care unit. J Am Soc Nephrol Suppl 2016; 27: 243A
- Group SR, Wright JT Jr, Williamson JD et al. A randomized trial of intensive versus standard blood-pressure control. N Engl J Med 2015; 373: 2103–2116
- Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Acute Kidney Injury Work Group. KDIGO clinical practice guideline for acute kidney injury. Kidney Int 2012; Suppl 2: 1–138
- 40. Chen C-D, Tung TY, Liang J et al. Identification of cleavage sites leading to the shed form of the anti-aging protein klotho. Biochemistry 2014; 53: 5579–5587
- Bloch L, Sineshchekova O, Reichenbach D et al. Klotho is a substrate for alpha-, beta- and gamma-secretase. FEBS Lett 2009; 583: 3221–3224
- 42. Chen CD, Podvin S, Gillespie E et al. Insulin stimulates the cleavage and release of the extracellular domain of Klotho by ADAM10 and ADAM17. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2007; 104: 19796–19801