decades. An introduction that contextualized the work as what it clearly is—a lifelong labour of love of film and Tolstoy—might have lent the book a bit more coherence and significance. Finally, if one is to trust the typographical notes at the end of the book, only three hundred copies of Hunt for Leo were printed, and given the state of today’s Russian academic press I doubt there will be more runs. Anyone who wants a copy of Hunt for Leo might indeed be faced with a challenging hunt for Lev Anninsky’s book.

*Editor’s note. There is now a complete filmography of Tolstoy’s works, prepared by Michael Denner, on the Tolstoy web site. See www.tolstoystudies.org.

MICHAEL DENNER
STETSON UNIVERSITY


Robert Whittaker, writing in volume IX (1997) of this journal, wrote that this editorial collective’s first anthology of extracts from Gusev’s Tolstoy archive “sparkles like a colorful, multifaceted mosaic of brilliant gems” and “reflects the highest standards of Russian textual criticism.” Just the same may be said of this second volume, containing 45 letters from Gusev to Tolstoy and eight reminiscences of Tolstoy by divers hands. Indeed, Tolstoy studies generally owe a continuing debt to the very productive collaboration of the Slavic Research Group at the University of Ottawa and the State L. N. Tolstoy Museum in Moscow.

Nikolai Nikolaevich Gusev (1882-1967) may be said to have devoted his life to the study of Tolstoy and his teachings. From his first letter to Tolstoy, in 1903, through his two years (1907-09) of service as Tolstoy’s personal secretary (his “priceless assistant,” as Tolstoy called him) and two years in exile (1909-11) following his arrest for spreading the teachings of his mentor, and culminating in a long and very fruitful research career which lasted right up until his death. He is best remembered for his monumental biographical research (Letopis’ zhizni i tvorchestva L. N. Tolstogo [Chronicle of the Life and Work of L. N. Tolstoy, 1958], four volumes of Materialy biografii L. N. Tolstogo [Materials for the Biography of L. N. Tolstoy, 1954, 1958, 1963, 1970], and his personal reminiscence of his years as Tolstoy’s secretary (Dva goda s L. N. Tolstym [Two Years with Tolstoy, ed. V. V. Grigorenko, 1973]). He was also instrumental in the production of the Jubilee Edition of Tolstoy’s Complete Collected Works.

The letters from Gusev to Tolstoy cover the entire period of their acquaintance. The earliest letters offer a wonderful insight into the inner world of an idealistic young man beginning for the first time to grapple with Tolstoy’s most serious questions and his answers to them. In this regard, Gusev’s letter of 2 January 1910 (119-122) supplements the account of Gusev’s own first acquaintance with Tolstoy with a description of Tolstoy’s influence on the development of a young revolutionary.

Especially instructive are the letters Gusev wrote to Tolstoy while Gusev was in exile between August, 1909, and Tolstoy’s death in November, 1910. One gains a new appreciation of what condemnation and exile for political reasons entailed, both physically and emotionally, in those days. The letter of 3 November 1909 (98-102) provides interesting comments on the reasons underlying the enmity of the Orthodox Church toward Tolstoy. The same letter asserts Gusev’s opinion that Dostoevsky was, of all Tolstoy’s contemporaries, closest to him in the spirit of his ideas. He singled out several passages from Dostoevsky’s Zapiski iz mertvogo doma (Notes from the Dead House) as being particularly in sympathy with Tolstoy’s ideas. There is also an interesting comment about Dostoevsky in the letter of 18 April 1910.

Of the reminiscences, that of Morozov called “Pozhar v Iasnoi Poliane” (“A Fire at Iasnai Poliana,” 201-210) has a wonderful portrait of Tolstoy’s kindness and charity toward the peasants.
following a disastrous fire in the village. The author was one of Tolstoy’s pupils at the Iasnaia Poliana school in the early 1860s; the memoir is from much later, of course.

Most interesting to me, though, was the memoir of S. N. Everling, a university student preparing for the professoriate under the tutelage of Tolstoy’s acquaintance N. Ia. Grot. Here is a wonderful picture of Tolstoy at home with guests (in the Moscow house) and an abundance of sharp comment by him on Solov’yev, Fedorov, Strakhov, and others. This is the longest selection in the anthology, 163-180. A note tells us that the material was first published in English in a magazine (evidently called The Nineteenth Century and After) in 1923. In 1976 a Russian journal, Literaturnaiia Rossiaia, published a Russian translation of this English material. Thus, the claim that all of the materials in this collection were previously unpublished may not be true in the strictest sense, but the obscurity and inadequacy of the previous publications leaves the substance of the claim unimpeached.

Besides the letters and reminiscences themselves, the volume is richly supplied with admirable scholarly apparatus. This includes introductory pieces by both Donskov and Ivanova (both of them given in both English and Russian) and English summaries of the contents of each of the letters and all of the reminiscences. There are also numerous illustrations and photographs (many of them new to this reviewer), a handy table describing the nature and extent of Tolstoy’s connection with the various memoirists, and indices of personal names and the titles of works by Tolstoy which are mentioned anywhere in the book. Once again we must thank Professors Donskov, Gromova, and Ivanova for an excellent further contribution to our store of documents pertaining to Tolstoy.

GARY JAHN
UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

*Editor’s Note: Excerpts from this volume are published, translated into English, in this issue of Tolstoy Studies Journal.